DOCUMENT RESUME

JC 970 348 ED 408 001

AUTHOR Cunningham, Stephen

Non-Matriculant Survey Report, Fall 1995. TITLE

Pennsylvania Coll. of Technology, Williamsport. Office of INSTITUTION

Strategic Planning and Research.

Apr 97 PUB DATE NOTE 56p.

Reports - Research (143) -- Tests/Questionnaires (160) PUB TYPE

MF01/PC03 Plus Postage. EDRS PRICE

*Dropout Characteristics; Dropout Research; *Enrollment DESCRIPTORS

Influences; *Family Income; Housing Deficiencies; *Student

Attitudes; Student Educational Objectives; Technical Institutes; *Two Year College Students; Two Year Colleges

Pennsylvania College of Technology **IDENTIFIERS**

ABSTRACT

In fall 1995, Pennsylvania College of Technology undertook a study of students who were accepted for admission but did not enroll to determine their reasons for not enrolling. Surveys were mailed to the 1,619 students, out of 3,524 accepted in fall 1995, who did not enroll, receiving responses from 52.4% (n=849). Study results included the following: (1) response rates varied significantly by age and family income, with non-matriculants 20 or younger more likely to respond than those over 20 and those with family incomes under \$30,000 less likely than those with family incomes over \$40,000; (2) only 48% of non-local applicants matriculated in fall 1995, compared to 60% for the local 10-county area; (3) applicants with deficient academic preparation were less likely to actually enroll than those with adequate preparation; (4) only 48% of the applicants who expected to be employed part-time, but over 20 hours per week, matriculated, compared to 57% expecting to work full-time and 55% expecting not to work at all; (5) the primary reason for not enrolling was choosing another college, while explanations given for choosing another college included the distance from home, uncertain career goals, unsatisfactory housing, and expected costs; and (6) 16% indicated they had postponed college until spring 1996, while 32% indicated that they had postponed until fall 1996. The survey instrument and cover letters are appended. (HAA)

Reproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made

from the original document.



Institutional Research

NON-MATRICULANT SURVEY REPORT FALL 1995 PENNSYLVANIA COLLEGE OF TECHNOLOGY OFFICE OF STRATEGIC PLANNING & RESEARCH PUBLISHED APRIL 1997

Office of Strategic Planning and Research

Pennsylvania College of Technology

PENNSTATE



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
Office of Educational Research and Improvement
EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION
CENTER (ERIC)

This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization originating it.

 Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality.

 Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official OERI position or policy. "PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

S. Cunningham

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)."



PENNSYLVANIA COLLEGE OF TECHNOLOGY OFFICE OF STRATEGIC PLANNING AND RESEARCH

Sandra Slotnick, Director Stephen Cunningham, Institutional Research Specialist Kelly Fairfax, Data Base Clerk Sharon Scarfo, Secretary

NON-MATRICULANT SURVEY REPORT - FALL 1995

Report Author: Stephen Cunningham

Table of Contents

| PART I | INTRODUCTION (Purpose & Definitions) | Page 1 |
|----------|--|--|
| PART II | DETAILED ANALYSIS & FINDINGS Matriculation vs. Non-Matriculation Response Rates & Response Bias Survey Responses - Overall Survey Responses - Group Comparisons | 2 3 4 8 |
| PART III | SURVEY PROCEDURES & DATA PREPARATION | 10 |
| PART IV | SUMMARY TABLES General Information & Demographics Table 1A Admissions Status by School & Major Table 1B Matriculation & Response Rates by School & Major Table 2 Matriculation & Response Rates by Area & County Table 3 Matriculation & Response Rates by Sex Table 4 Matriculation & Response Rates by Age Table 5 Matriculation & Response Rates by Race Table 6 Matriculation & Response Rates by Income Table 7 Matriculation & Response Rates by Employment Status | 11 16 19 21 21 21 22 |
| | Survey Responses Table 8 Reasons for Applying Table 9 Reasons for Not Enrolling Table 10A Enrollment Status Table 10B Institutions Enrolling Non-Matriculants Table 11 Colleges Applied To & Order of Preference Table 12 College Service Ratings | 23 24 25 25 30 36 |
| PART V | APPENDICES Non-Matriculant Survey Questionnaire Non-Matriculant Survey Cover Letters | 37 |



This report presents results of the follow-up survey administered to non-matriculants of the Pennsylvania College of Technology (Penn College). 1995 marks the tenth year Penn College has conducted this standard study of prospective students.

The quality and viability of any higher education institution depends in part on the design of strategies for recruiting and subsequently retaining the changing population of prospective college students. To accomplish these objectives, it is important to identify and analyze the reasons why prospective students apply to Penn College, but choose not to enroll. In specific, the purposes of this survey are to:

- 1) present a composite profile of prospective students who apply and are accepted, but decide not to attend Penn College;
- 2) determine reasons why applicants decide not to attend Penn College;
- 3) determine which other colleges non-matriculants choose to attend;
- 4) rate various factors in the decision not to attend;
- 5) examine ways to improve admissions and related student services;
- 6) provide a data base for analysis of attrition and retention at the College.

The report is divided into five parts:

| Part I | Introduction (purpose and definitions) |
|----------|--|
| Part II | Detailed analysis and findings |
| Part III | Survey procedures and data preparation |
| Part IV | Data tables |
| Part V | Appendices. |
| | |

Definitions

Matriculation is defined as the act of enrolling in a group, particularly a college or university. Non-matriculation then is the act of not enrolling. For the practical purposes of this study, the definition of non-matriculants is limited to those who show interest in becoming Penn College students, but do not enroll. *Prior to the 1993 non-matriculant survey, this limit was implemented by selecting new, degree-seeking applicants for Fall admission who were accepted, paid their tuition deposit, but did not officially enroll.*

Beginning with the Fall 1993 Non-Matriculant study, this operational definition was expanded to include <u>all</u> accepted students who did not officially enroll, whether they paid their tuition deposit or not. Therefore, caution must be used in comparing results of the 1993 and 1995 survey to prior years. Differences may be due to the modified population, rather than any changing trends.



PART II - DETAILED ANALYSIS & FINDINGS

Following are major findings of the study. All statements using the term "significant" are based on statistical tests at 5% error levels. This analysis does not present all possible inferences to be drawn from the data. Readers are encouraged to examine the data tables following this section. Source data files and output are available for review in the Office of Strategic Planning and Research.

Matriculation vs. Non-Matriculation (Tables 1 - 7)

Of 3824 new admissions applicants in Fall 1995, Penn College accepted 3524, of whom 1905 (54.1%) ultimately enrolled. The remaining group of 1619 non-matriculants constitute the population targeted for the survey. The 54% matriculation rate marks the first time in eight years that the College has improved its rate of matriculation, up slightly from 53.7% in 1994. Matriculation improved even more in Fall 1996, as the rate increased to 57.1%. Prior non-matriculant studies have emphasized the long-term ripple effect of new enrollments on total enrollments. Thus, the improving rate and total number of matriculating applicants bodes well for the College enrollment goal of "5000 by 2000," provided similar improvements can be achieved in student retention.

Before examining the non-matriculant survey results, much can be learned from reviewing other institutional data related to matriculation. Several Strategic Planning and Research publications document the characteristics of Penn College students (General Institutional Characteristics, Sourcebook, Project Profile Report, CIRP Freshman Survey Report). Rather than repeating those findings, the non-matriculant profile presented here focuses on those traits which distinguish the non-matriculant population from enrolling students. Examining these traits can both help explain why some applicants choose not to enroll, and point to market segments that may need special attention in the admissions process.

Through the admissions process, placement testing and financial aid applications, considerable information is available to compare matriculants and non-matriculants. Over the ten years of this study, a fairly consistent picture of the non-matriculant population has developed. The principal background factors that are consistently, significantly related to matriculation are:

- family income;
- geographic area;
- academic preparation;
- choice of major;
- expected student employment.

Tables 1 through 7 of this report have been modified to document the variations in matriculation rates related to background student demographic factors. In past years these tables presented the number of non-matriculants, number of survey respondents and response rates broken down by student characteristics. This years' tables provide that information as well as the corresponding matriculation rates.

Applicants with lower **family incomes** are significantly less likely to matriculate, raising obvious concerns about the ability of some students to afford the College. In 1995, those with annual incomes of \$40,000 and over matriculated at a 61% rate, while those with under \$30,000



income enrolled at a 52% rate (Table 6, p. 24). The median family income of new students was \$29,667, compared to \$24,350 for non-matriculants.

Applicants from beyond the immediate ten-county **geographic area** are significantly less likely to matriculate than local applicants, particularly those from Lycoming county. This fact is due in part to the non-traditional, place-bound nature of local students, which makes them less likely to attend other colleges. However, even among traditional-aged students who apply elsewhere, local applicants are much more likely to matriculate. In 1995, non-local applicants matriculated at a 48% rate, compared to 60% for the local ten-county area, and 62% for Lycoming county (Table 2, p. 21).

Applicants with deficient **academic preparation** are significantly less likely to matriculate than those adequately prepared. Among the 1995 applicants who took placement tests, 69% of those who tested non-deficient or deficient in only one area (math, English or reading) enrolled in the Fall. In contrast, only 62% of those who tested double deficient and 57% of those tested triple deficient enrolled.

Applicants to Health Science **program majors** not accepted directly into their program are significantly less likely to matriculate than others. The College uses an open-door admissions policy, with the exception of some baccalaureate majors, the Legal Assistant major and Health majors, which have competitive admissions. Health Science applicants not accepted directly into their major are placed into pre-Health programs, allowing them to take related courses until they meet admissions requirements and seats are available in their major. In 1995, only 42% of applicants accepted into pre-Health programs chose to enroll (Table 1B, p.18).

Applicants **expected to be employed** with heavy part-time work loads are significantly less likely to enroll than those expecting full-time, or no employment. In 1995, those expecting to work part-time, over 20 hours per week, matriculated at a lower rate (48%) than those expecting full-time work (57%), or no work (55%) (Table 7, p.24).

The probability that an applicant will ultimately enroll is heavily dependent on the five traits outlined above. Therefore, these factors will be discussed in further detail. Survey responses will be broken down and analyzed according to each of the five traits, after presenting overall survey results.

Response Rates and Response Bias (Tables 1 - 7)

The overall survey response rate was 52.4%, with 849 of 1619 non-matriculants responding, comparable to prior years. In order to generalize the survey results to the entire non-matriculant population, the respondents should be representative of the entire population. If not representative, the responses might over-represent some groups and under-represent others, causing biased results.

Comparative analyses of respondent and non-respondent demographics show that survey respondents were not totally representative of all non-matriculants. Response rates varied significantly by age and family income. Traditional (under 20 years old) non-matriculants were significantly more likely (56%) to respond than non-traditional applicants (48%). Non-matriculants with family incomes under \$30,000 responded at a significantly lower rate (50%) than those with incomes over \$40,000 (58%). Thus, any survey responses significantly related

3



to age or income are biased responses that are not representative of the entire non-matriculant population, and will be so noted.

SURVEY RESPONSES - Overall

Reasons for Applying (Table 8)

Non-matriculants were asked to provide one or two reasons why they applied to the College. Even more so than in the past, **the College's curriculum portfolio is the major reason students apply**, for non-matriculants as well as matriculants. Over half of the non-matriculants stated that program availability was the primary reason for applying, while over 60% cited it as a primary or secondary reason, up from 55% in 1993. **Distance from home** (primary reason - 14%, total responses - 26%,¹) and **college reputation** (9%, 18%) **remained the second and third most popular reasons for applying**. In addition, distance from home was probably underestimated due to response bias.

Most other application reasons remained stable or slightly declined. The application reasons which showed the most substantial decline were open admissions and high school staff recommendations. In addition, both of these responses were probably overestimated due to response bias. Open admissions (1.4%, 5%) has declined steadily as an application reason, from 9% in 1991 and 8% in 1993. In part, this might reflect the growth in Health Science and some baccalaureate majors which, unlike most College programs, do not have open admissions.

High school instructor and counselor recommendations (5%, 9%), down from 13%, have also continuously dropped among non-matriculants since the late 1980's. The influence of high school staff can be viewed as a two-step process: 1) high school staff recommend the College; 2) students are influenced by those recommendations. Thus, the decline in applicants citing high school recommendations could be due to an actual decrease in the number of high school staff recommending the College, or a decline in the extent to which students are influenced by high school recommendations. If the **nu**mber of high school recommendations were actually dropping, one would expect both matriculating and non-matriculating students to reflect such a decline. However, the 1995 CIRP Freshmen Survey Report (Table A-5) show that high school teacher and counselor influence on matriculating freshman has remained stable. Together, these findings seem to imply that non-matriculant decisions are simply being less influenced by high school staff than in the past.

Reasons for not Enrolling (Table 9)

Non-matriculants were asked to provide up to three reasons why they did not enroll. As in 1993, choosing another college was the primary reason Penn College applicants did not matriculate (20%). However, the total proportion of applicants who indicated choosing another college as a reason dropped substantially, from 36% to 31%, and this response was probably overestimated due to response bias.

¹ In this section and the next, the first number in parentheses shows the percentage of respondents who indicted that factor as a primary reason, the second number shows the total percentage of respondents who gave it as a reason.



ツ

When additional reasons were given that might explain why they chose another college, distance from home (27%), uncertain career goals (17%), unsatisfactory housing (15%), and expected cost (12%) were most common. Another 8% indicated impressions from campus visits or concerns about the surrounding environment as reasons. Non-matriculants influenced by cost and distance from home reflect a national trend pointed out two years ago, in which more students are choosing their college based on finances and wanting or needing to live near home. The commitment to substantially increase scholarship funds should help the College better compete for those students who are heavily influenced by cost considerations.

The opening of The Village, Penn College's first residential facility, should help reduce the number of prospective students who choose other institutions due to housing. Based on the total non-matriculant population of 1619, with 31% choosing another college and 15% of those indicating unsatisfactory housing as a reason, it can be estimated that **the College lost about 75 students to competing institutions due to the lack of quality housing.** In total, nearly 10% of all non-matriculants gave poor housing as a reason for not enrolling, meaning some 150 prospective students chose not to enroll, at least in part, due to poor housing.

College housing together with the ongoing acquisition of unattractive properties adjacent to campus should also help reduce the proportion of applicants with negative impressions of the campus and its locale. These students tend to be traditional-aged, male applicants from outside the local area. Comments accompanying this item reveal that some negative impressions are focused on the campus itself, while others refer to the surrounding community. Because most respondents do not provide additional comments, future non-matriculant surveys will specifically prompt students to provide more detailed reasons for any negative impressions from campus visits, in order to better document and analyze the source of those poor impressions.

Overall, the most frequent reason for non-matriculation was to postpone enrollment. Nearly one-third of the non-matriculants indicated they postponed college plans until the Spring or Fall 1996 semester (16%, 32%). Of those with additional reasons, 26% indicated uncertain career goals, 23% found satisfactory employment, 22% had personal or family problems, and 20% received insufficient financial aid. To summarize, those who postpone either do not know what they want to do, or have more urgent personal or financial concerns. Over one-fourth of those who postponed did re-apply in either the Spring or Fall 1996 semesters, and some 17% enrolled in Spring 1996.

As noted above, uncertain career goals are the biggest reason applicants postpone enrollment and the second biggest reason they choose another college. Overall, career goal uncertainty ranks eighth (5%, 19%) as a reason for not enrolling. These students tend to be traditional-aged applicants from the local area. Perhaps a more extensive pre-enrollment career counseling program could be developed to help some applicants clarify their educational and career objectives.

Though still of major importance, financial problems (insufficient financial aid and expected cost after financial aid) continue to slowly decline as reasons for non-matriculation. Insufficient aid has dropped from 43% in 1990 to 28% in 1993 and 25% in 1995. Expected cost has dropped from 32% in 1990 to 23% in 1993 to 21% in 1995. Some of this decline may be due to response bias, as lower income students are more likely to have financial problems, but are



less likely to respond. However, it cannot account for all of the decline, because a similar response bias has existed in the past.

The apparent decline in financial problems may seem puzzling given rising tuition rates and the continued importance of family income on matriculation rates. The answer may lie in the College's changing applicant pool. The proportion of low-income (under \$30,000) applicants to the College dropped from 62% in 1993 to 47% in 1995. While some of this decline (and the corresponding increase in higher income students) is due to inflation, it is also possible that the cost of attending has become so prohibitive that fewer low-income students are even considering the College. Fewer low-income applicants result in fewer low-income non-matriculants, fewer low-income survey respondents, and fewer reports of financial problems. As mentioned above, the increased commitment to institutional scholarships and financial aid might help slow the decline in the low-income population.

Whereas choosing another college, postponing college and financial problems remained the top reasons for non-matriculation, the proportions of these responses all declined slightly. In contrast, satisfactory employment (primary reason - 7%, total - 17%) showed the most substantial increase as a reason for non-matriculation, up from 13% total responses in 1993. Perhaps this is related to the relatively stable economic environment of recent years. High unemployment rates are often associated with increasing higher education enrollments, and conversely lower unemployment rates create less incentive for individuals to pursue college.

Enrollment at other Institutions (Tables 10A, 10B, 11)

While some students indicate choosing another college as a reason for not enrolling, another survey item explicitly asks if they are attending another college, regardless of whether or not it was a reason for not attending Penn College. For the first time in several years, the proportion of non-matriculants who enrolled at another institution declined, from 39% to 36% (note that because this is a different survey item, this percentage is not identical to the number who indicated choosing another college as a *reason for not enrolling*). This finding is consistent with the decreased proportion who indicated choosing another college as a reason for not matriculating.

Non-matriculants lost to other colleges typically share several traits: they tend to be traditional-aged, better prepared academically, from more highly educated and higher income families, from outside the local region. While some reasons associated with attending another college were explored in the previous section, it might be of some value in the future to conduct focus groups or more detailed telephone follow-ups on students who choose other colleges over Penn College.

Increasingly, the primary competitor for Penn College applicants is our parent institution. The proportion of non-matriculants choosing Penn State (University Park or the Commonwealth Education System) has grown from 12% in 1991 to 14% in 1993 and 19% in 1995. Students lost to other PSU campuses tend to indicate distance from home or impressions from campus visits as reasons for not choosing Penn College.

In contrast to the increased enrollment of non-matriculants at Penn State, the loss of students to the State System of Higher Education (SSHE) universities within our region (Bloomsburg, Lock Haven, Mansfield) dropped substantially, from 15% in 1993 to under 7%. Students



interested in Penn College Health Science and Integrated Studies majors are particularly more likely to choose these SSHE universities.

The state's public two-year colleges consistently enroll one-fifth of the College's non-matriculants. Students lost to community colleges tend to indicate distance from home and unsatisfactory housing as reasons for not choosing Penn College. Non-matriculant enrollment at Luzerne County Community College has slowly grown from 3% in 1991 to over 5%, to become the College's second largest competitor. Harrisburg Area Community College also remains a prime competitor, though the proportion of non-matriculants dropped from 6% in 1993 to 4%. Private in-state two-year institutions usually take another one-sixth of the non-matriculants. Newport Business Institute, the former Williamsport School of Commerce, more than doubled its enrollment of College non-matriculants, from 1.4% to 3.8%.

Private in-state four-year colleges typically enroll another 9% of the non-matriculants, though Lycoming College enrollment has slowly declined from 3% in 1991 to 1.4%. Enrollment at out-of-state colleges rebounded to 22% after dropping from 21% to 15% in 1993. Roughly 10% of non-matriculants choosing another college enroll in New York institutions.

On average, each non-matriculant applied to 1 institution other than Penn College (650 applications to other colleges, 635 respondents), about the same as in 1993. Nearly two-thirds indicated Penn College was their top choice, up from 56% in 1993.

College Service Ratings (Table 12)

The non-matriculants were asked to rate six college services they may have used, on a scale from 1 (very poor) to 4 (very good). The overall mean rating of 3.13 was virtually the same as in 1993, and indicates that non-matriculants are generally satisfied with the quality of service they receive. However, applicants who indicated they did not enroll due to impressions from campus visits rated overall services significantly lower (2.52) than others. It should be noted that only 38% of all respondents completed these items. Many applicants do not have enough exposure to these services to make informed judgments. Nonetheless, some inferences may be made. Response rates for each service are included in parentheses in the following paragraph.

Admissions (60% response) was again the highest rated service and the only one to improve, from 3.34 to 3.36. Academic advisement (34%) has steadily dropped from 3.37 in 1991 to 3.15 in 1993 and 3.08 in 1995. This could be due to growth in the pre-Health programs, because they tend to rate academic advisement substantially lower than others. Financial Aid (35%) was again the lowest rated service (2.75), but was also inversely correlated with family income and therefore probably underestimated due to response bias. Higher income applicants gave Financial Aid significantly lower ratings, perhaps because they did not qualify for as much aid, while lower income applicants, who are less likely to respond, gave higher ratings. Placement test day (3.24, 42%), computerized class scheduling (3.13, 29%), and billing/payment procedures (2.94, 27%) were rated nearly the same as in 1993.

SURVEY RESPONSES - Group Comparisons

Five factors significantly related to matriculation were identified earlier: family income, geographic area, academic preparation, expected employment, and choice of major.



Examining survey responses separately within each of these groups may provide more useful information. In discussing reasons for applying and not enrolling in this section, only the primary reasons were analyzed. Separate data tables presenting these breakdowns are not included in the report.

Family Income

Not surprisingly, given steadily rising college costs, applicants from lower income families are less likely to matriculate. Furthermore, as noted earlier, fewer low income students are even applying to the College. Low income students are significantly less likely to choose another college over Penn College (18% - 23%). Instead, in 1995 they were more likely not to enroll because of personal and family obligations (9% - 5%), while in past years they were more likely to indicate insufficient financial aid. As noted earlier, increases in scholarship funds should provide some assistance.

Geographic Area

While low income students often come from the local area, local students as a whole are <u>more</u> likely to enroll, due to the convenience and lower costs of living within commuting distance, and the limited flexibility of local, non-traditional students in going to other colleges. Those who are non-local do not have these incentives to enroll, or disincentives to go elsewhere. While traditionally the north-central region has been the College's primary service area, our statewide focus seems to call for a non-local matriculation rate more on par with that of the local area.

Not surprisingly, non-local applicants are significantly less likely than others to apply due to distance from home (non-local - 5%, local - 26%) or prior enrollment at the College (1% - 7%, respectively). They are more likely to be attracted by the College's program offerings (55% - 36%) and recommendations from high school teachers and counselors (7% - 3%).

Non-local applicants are significantly more likely to enter another college (50% - 19%), particularly Penn State (21% - 10%) or a community college (23% - 6%), as opposed to one of the local (Bloomsburg, LHU, Mansfield) SSHE universities (4% - 16%). They are also more likely not to enroll due to distance from home (7% - 2%), uncertain career goals (6% - 3%), unsatisfactory housing (4% - 1%), or impressions from campus visits (3% - 1%). Given their interest in Penn State, perhaps emphasis on the affiliation and the associated advantages may be the best selling point to non-local applicants.

Academic Preparation

Among applicants who took placement tests, those who were multiple deficient were much less likely to enroll. However, many applicants were not tested. To compensate for the lack of placement test information on all applicants, high school rank, which was found to be highly correlated to testing results, was also used to classify applicants as deficient or non-deficient. Applicants not tested, who ranked in the bottom third of their high school class were considered to be academically deficient; if not tested, but ranked in the top or middle third, they were considered non-deficient.

March 1981 to the second of the second

Academically qualified applicants were significantly more likely to choose another college (50% - 28%) or to indicate distance from home (7% - 3%) as a reason for not enrolling. Academically



deficient applicants were more prone to postpone enrollment (19% - 13%), or cite inadequate financial aid (20% - 13%).

Expected Employment Status

Applicants expecting to work heavy part-time loads (over 20 hours/ week) matriculate at lower rates than those expecting to work full-time or not at all. Full-time employees probably have a more stable income and would only attend part-time. Those not working probably have sufficient income enabling them to focus exclusively on college. Those expecting heavy part-time work probably have greater financial needs, and the combined stress of balancing substantial work and classroom loads.

Applicants expecting heavy part-time work are significantly more likely not to enroll due to expected cost after financial aid (15% - 9%) and insufficient employment income (6% - 2%). The gap between income and cost is simply too great. They are also less likely to choose another college (29% - 40%). As with low income applicants, increased scholarships should provide some assistance to this group.

Choice of Major

Pre-Health major applicants are much less likely to matriculate than others. They are much more likely to apply due to the College's program offerings (59% - 49%). It then stands to reason that if they are not accepted into their desired program they will be more likely to non-matriculate. They are significantly more likely to choose another college (48% - 33%) or to indicate distance from home (9% - 4%) as a reason. Pre-Health applicants are particularly more likely to enroll at Bloomsburg (5% - 0%) or a public two-year college (25%-16%).

One other important finding should be noted. Of all the background factors analyzed, only one was significantly correlated to ratings of college services: pre-Health applicants rated academic advisement significantly lower than other applicants (2.98-3.11).



PART III - PROCEDURES AND DATA PREPARATION

A survey, consisting of ten closed items and three open-ended items, was used to determine non-matriculant impressions of Pennsylvania College of Technology. This instrument was based on the non-matriculant model developed in 1975 by Ronald Perry and David Rumpf of Northeastern University. With the Northeastern Model as a basis, the Pennsylvania College of Technology Non-Matriculant Survey was originally developed in Fall 1984 by the Office of Institutional Research in conjunction with the Counseling Center, Admissions and Financial Aid Offices. Minor modifications have periodically been made to the questionnaire. The survey was conducted annually from 1984 through 1990. Beginning in 1991 it was changed to a biannual schedule.

The questionnaire was initially mailed to the original study group of 1619 non-matriculants the week of October 30, 1995. The following chart details each stage of the survey as well as the response rate for each. Samples of the survey letters are displayed in the appendix.

| Mailing - Date | Number Mailed | Cumulative Number Returned | Cumulative Response Rate |
|------------------------------|------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| First Letter- 10/30/95 | 1619 | 279 | 17.2% |
| Second Letter - 11/21/95 | | 520 | 32.1% |
| Third Letter - 12/19/95 | | 679 | 41.9% |
| Telephone Calls - 1/18-20/96 | _ | 849 | 54.1% |

The responses for all 849 usable surveys were edited, coded and entered into an IBM AS/400 mainframe file. That file was then queried and merged with demographic information for all 3524 accepted applicants and downloaded to a disk file for analysis with a microcomputer statistical software package. Date tables were entered into spreadsheet files and laser-printed; duplicating was done in-house.



10

1 May 15 15 15 15

.--

TABLE 1A
ADMISSIONS STATUS OF NEW APPLICANTS
BY SCHOOL & MAJOR
FALL 1995

| According to the following the following to the following to the following to the following the following to the following to the following to the following the following to the following the following the following to the following the following the followin | College Not East | | | Accented | Truition | | Tultion Deposit Paid | ford | | |
|--|--|---------------------------|---|----------|---------------------|-------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|
| Computer fine TechNoLO Coles Coles Tech | Computer into Set-American Section Set Computer into Set-American Set Computer into Set American Set Computer into Set | SITE/SCHOOL Degree(a) | | into | Deposit Not Paíd | Not Registered | Withdraw Before 3rd Wk | Total Matriculants | Non- Matriculants | Matriculation Bate |
| Tech (ES) Tech | Design | IAIN CAMPUS BUSINESS & | COMPUTER TECHNOLOGIES | | - | | | | | |
| The property of the property | Second control contr | S 60 | Business Administration Computer Info Tech-Application | 64 | 16 | 10 | 8 | 36 | 28 | 56.3% |
| Information Technology-total 13 | 13 6 10 11 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | BS | Computer Info Tech-Data Comm/Networking | 5 2 | 90 | v <u>4</u> | | о | | 45.0 |
| 13 15 17 17 18 18 18 18 18 18 | 13 13 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | BS | Computer Information Technology-total | 32 | 9 | 6 | 0 | 17 | 15 | 53.1 |
| 1 | 11 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | S C | Legal Assistant Studies Technology Management | 5 ; | | დ ∙ | - | 80 | , so | 61.5 |
| ese Programming systems total list 60 10 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 | Secretary Secr | 3 | ocariosy management | = | N | | - | | ٠. | 6 0.6% |
| See Programming See Programming See Programming See Programming See Programming See Programming See | Second S | _ | | 09. | 5 | 11 | _ | 38 | . 22 | 63.3 |
| Specialist Spe | Specialist | | | 68 | 15 | 17 | N | 55 | . 8 | |
| The control of the | Tech (BS) Tech | | | 46 | 9 | 15 | _ | 24 | 22 | |
| Tech (BS) Tech | Tech (BS) Tech | | | 33 | 80 - | 9 | 2 | 17 | 16 | |
| The characteristics | Packellist The control of the contr | | | 34 | 4 | 8 | | 22 | 12 | |
| Secialist | 1 | | Legal Assistant (Derejegel) | E . | 9 1 | 53 | en . | 63 | 20 | |
| Specialist | Tech (BS) Tech | | | <u>.</u> | | 01 | - 1 | 33 | 48 | |
| Teach (BS) | Interception of the control of the c | AAS | Office Tech-Communication Specialist | 2 7 | - 0 | m • | 8 | 90 | . | |
| Secialist | Indext of the problem | | | 7 00 | 5 - | - 6 | | ₩ ₹ | | |
| Office Technology-total SS 10 12 1 13 53 13 53 10 12 1 13 53 13 53 10 7 | Office Technology-total S | | | 9 | - a | 2 4 | | * Ç | * 5 | 20.00 |
| Office Technology-total 52 10 12 1 29 23 logy 16 2 4 1 9 7 7 Tech (BS) 16 2 4 1 1 9 7 Tech (BS) 14 1 1 1 11 3 Tech (BS) 16 17 2 65 47 Tech (BS) 107 25 22 65 47 Ry Design 66 17 17 1 41 25 Tech Flumbing 25 1 6 1 44 2 HVAC Technology-total 34 16 17 4 5 37 29 HVAC Technology-total 34 16 17 4 57 37 23 HVAC Technology-total 34 6 1 1 5 4 6 HVAC Technology-total 34 4 6 1 <th< td=""><td>Office Technology-total 52 10 12 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1</td><td>AAS</td><td>흥</td><td>, ec</td><td>-</td><td><u> </u></td><td>-</td><td><u> </u></td><td></td><td>29.6</td></th<> | Office Technology-total 52 10 12 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | AAS | 흥 | , ec | - | <u> </u> | - | <u> </u> | | 29.6 |
| 16 2 4 1 9 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 | Tech (BS) | | Office | 52 | 10 | 12 | 1 | 29 | 23 | 55.6 |
| Tech (BS) Lech | Tech (BS) | : | Computer Applications Technology | 16 | N | 4 | - | O. | | 56.3 |
| Tech (BS) | Tech (BS) | - IVVIVS | I VAAV | | | | | | | |
| Tech (BS) 28 8 4 1 1 11 13 13 13 14 14 | Tech (BS) | SCHOOL | סואר | 513 | 88 | 109 | 15 | 301 | 212 | 58.7 |
| 14 15 16 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 | Authorition Management | CONSTRUCT | ON & DESIGN TECHNOLOGIES | | | | | | | |
| chilectural Technology 14 1 1 1 1 1 1 3 chilectural Technology 107 25 22 60 47 silding Construction Technology 107 13 27 2 65 47 mpulser-Mode Drafting Tech/Design 47 9 17 1 41 25 ampulser-Alded Drafting Tech/Design 25 1 1 41 28 ampulser-Alded Drafting Tech/Design 25 1 1 41 2 author/School and Technology 15 11 3 40 29 author/School and Technology 11 3 40 29 author/School and Technology 40 4 4 6 11 1 1 Author/Actical Occupations 40 4 4 8 2 2 2 author/Actical Occupations 10 1 8 2 2 6 Annothogy 1 1 | thiestural Technology silding Construction Technology silding Construction Technology silding Construction Technology sotrical Deal Deal Deal Deal Deal Deal Deal De | BS | Construction Management | 28 | 80 | 4 | | 15 | - 27 | 57.1 |
| thirding Construction Technology iliding Construction Technology iliding Construction Technology iliding Construction Technology iliding Construction Technology industrial Maintenance Technology HVAC Technology-total Struction Ventilation/AC (HVAC) Technology-total HVAC Technology-total Struction Occupations HVAC Technology | thirectural Technology iliding Construction Technology inding Construction Technology inding Construction Technology impulser-Alded Draftling TechDesign 47 19 19 19 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 | BS | Heating/Ventilation/AC (HVAC) Tech (BS) | 14 | - | - | - | 2 = | i w | 78.6 |
| iliding Construction Technology mpuler-Med Drafting Technology mpuler-Med Drafting Technology mpuler-Med Drafting Technology mpuler-Med Drafting Technology actical Technology actic | iliding Construction Technology mpuler-Alded Dratting Tech/Design estrical Technology astrocycles Technology in the service of the service | AAS | Architectural Technology | 101 | 30 | C | | ç | ! | |
| Implicit Activition of Experimental Maintenance Technology contains a containing part of containing and making a containing a contain | Section Sect | AAS | Building Construction Technology | 2 6 | C4 - | 76 | • | 200 | , 4 | |
| ### Spirited Tech ### Spirited Tech ### Spirited Tech ### Spirited Tech ### Spirited Technology | ### Spirited Tech #### Spirited Tech ##### Spirited Tech #### Spirited Tech ##### Spirited Tech ###### Spirited Tech ##### Spirited Tech ##### Spirited Tech ###### Spirited Tech ##### Spirited Tech ##### Spirited Tech ###### Spirited Tech ##### Spirited Tech ##### Spirited Tech ###### Spirited Tech ##### Spirited Tech ##### Spirited Tech ###### Spirited Tech ##### Spirited Tech ##### Spirited Tech ####### Spirited Tech ####### Spirited Tech ######## Spirited Tech ############ Spirited Tech ################################### | AAS | Computer-Aided Drafting Tech/Design | 99 | 2 ~ | 17 | ٧ - | CD 4 | 7 40 | 200 |
| State Stat | State Stat | AAS | Electrical Tech | 47 | . 0 | 9 | - | - | 80 | 905.1 |
| State Stat | Section Sect | AAS | Heating/Ventilation/AC (HVAC) Tech-Plumbing | 52 | = | 9 | _ | 12 | • | 689 |
| HVAC Technology-total 94 16 17 4 57 37 37 37 37 37 18 19 19 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 | HVAC Technology-total 94 16 17 4 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | AAS | Heating/Ventilation/AC (HVAC) Tech-Retrig | 69 | 15 | 11 | 8 | 40 | 29 | 58.0 |
| 11 19 12 Instruction/Carpentry Instruction/ | 11 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | AAS | ¥c | 94 | 16 | 171 | 4 | 57 | 37 | 9.09 |
| instruction/Carpentry | nstruction/Carpentry 47 | Ş | l echnology | 3 | 0 | = | - | 6 | 12 | 61.3 |
| 10 1 5 2 26 14 Imbing 5 591 94 11 342 249 | 10 1 5 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 | 0 C | Construction/Carpentry | 47 | 5. | 13 | • | 24 | 23 | 51.1 |
| Jumbing 10 1 5 4 6 6 6 6 7 11 342 249 | 10 1 5 5 L | , | Figure Coupains | 04 | 4 | 30 | 8 | 56 | 14 | 65.0 |
| 591 94 11 342 249 | 591 94 144 11 | ပ် | Plumbing | 5 | - | S | | 4 | 9 | 40.0% |
| | | SCHOOL 1 | OTAL | 591 | 94 | 144 | 11 | 342 | 249 | 57.9% |





TABLE 1A
ADMISSIONS STATUS OF NEW APPLICANTS
BY SCHOOL & MAJOR
FALL 1995

| Figure Particulation Par | | | | - | | Tuition Deposit Paid | ъ | | |
|--|-------------|--|------------|----------------|-------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|
| Californic Cal | ITE/SCHOOL | | Accepted | Tuition | | Regi | 1 1 | | |
| ENCES Dental Hygiene Health Policy/Administration 4 0 1 2 1 0 1 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 1 | Degree(a) | | College | Not Paid | Not Begistered | Withdrew Before 3rd Wk | Total Matriculants | Non- Matriculants | Matriculation Rate |
| Dental Hyglene-Special Populations Care 4 0 1 3 1 Dental Hyglene-Special Populations Care 3 0 6 0 3 0 Dental Hyglene-Special Populations Care 7 0 3 0 6 1 Physician Program Assistant - pre-program Health Arts-Surgical Tech 6 0 1 0 6 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 2 3 4 2 3 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 3 1 1 2 3 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 2 3 1 2 3 1 2 3 4 2 3 3 3 3 | HEALTH SCIE | ENCES | | | | | | | |
| Dental Hyglene (BS) - pre-program Dental Hyglene (BS) - pre-program 7 0 1 0 6 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 1 0 0< | 88 88 | Dental Hygiene-Health Policy/Administration Dental Hydiene-Special Ponulations Care | 40 | 0 | <u>-</u> | | _ 6 | - | 75. |
| Dental Hyglane (BS) - pre-program Physician Assistant - pre-program Period gram Arts EMS/Pastry Arts Tech Postal Hyglane (BS) - pre-program Physician Assistant - pre-program Period Residual Program | BS | Dental Hydrana-total (BC) | o . | 0 | | | 3 | 0 | 100. |
| Physician Assistant Program 89 24 25 3 3 3 Dental Hygiene Dental Hygiene 6 0 1 1 5 1 5 1 1 58 1 | | Dental Hydiene (BS) - pre-program | ~ 0 | 5 0 | - 1 | - | 9 | - | |
| Dental Hyglene Dental Hyglene Control Hyglene Control Hyglene Companional Hyglene Compan | | Physician Assistant - pre-program | 68 8 | 24.0 | - - 2 | _ | e 6 | e . | 50.0% |
| Denial Hygiene - pre-program | AAS | Dental Hyglene | • | • | | | 9 | Ē. | |
| Health Artis-EMS/Paramedic 2 | | Dental Hydlene - nre-program | 9 | <u> </u> | | _ | S | _ | 83. |
| Health Arts-Sugical Tech Health Arts-Sugical Tech 1 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 2 1 1 2 2 1 1 2 2 2 2 0 1 1 2 2 2 0 1 1 2 2 2 4 4 4 9 5 9 1 1 1 1 2 1 1 1 2 1 1 2 1 1 2 1 1 2 1 1 2 1 1 2 4 2 3 6 7 3 8 6 7 3 8 6 7 3 8 8 6 7 4 2 4 2 4 2 4 2 4 2 4 2 4 2 4 2 4 2 4 | AAS | Health Arts-EMS/Paramedic | 8 . | ON C | 25 | 4 | 27 | 58 | 31.8 |
| Nursing Health Arts-fotal 1 0 1 1 2 0 1 1 2 0 1 1 0 1 2 0 1 1 0 2 0 1 1 0 2 0 1 1 0 2 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 4 4 9 59 <td>AAS</td> <td>Health Arts-Surgical Tech</td> <td>v -</td> <td>5 6</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>=</td> <td>_</td> <td>20.0</td> | AAS | Health Arts-Surgical Tech | v - | 5 6 | | | = | _ | 20.0 |
| Nursing Advance Placement candidate 25 0 1 1 1 0 2 1 1 1 0 2 1 1 1 0 1 1 1 1 0 2 1 1 1 1 | AAS | | - | | 1 | | 0 | - | 0.0 |
| Nursing - Advance Placement candidate 15 | AAS | į. ė: | . i | 5 | <u>α</u> | 0 | - | 2 | 33. |
| Nursing - pre-program 108 29 26 4 49 59 Occupational Therapy Assisting Occupational Therapy Occupational Ther | | | 2 0 | 5 (| - | - | 9 | 8 | 83. |
| Occupational Therapy Assisting Occupational Therapy Assisting Arts Tech Occupational Therapy Assisting Occupational Therapy Assisting Occupational Therapy Assisting Ore-program 139 10 14 49 59 Addiography Pasting Pre-program 139 31 45 3 60 79 Addiography Pre-program 26 6 10 16 16 Surgical Technology Surgi | Đ | | | 7 | 20 | ٠ | 17 | 80 | .89 |
| Occupational Therapy Assisting - pro-program 139 31 45 3 60 79 Radiography Radiography 13 0 1 12 1 12 1 P ractical Per-program 26 6 10 10 16 16 Surgical Technology 28 7 17 4 24 Surgical Tech- program 28 7 17 4 24 TOTAL 625 144 186 16 27 346 Baking/Pastry Arts 53 15 7 2 2 4 4 Food/Hospitality Management 51 12 2 2 2 2 Food/Hospitality Management 51 12 6 57 55 | AAS | Occupational Therapy Assisting | 3 - | R C | 70 | 4 | 49 | 29 | 45. |
| Practical Nursing - pre-program | | Therapy Assisting - pre-prog | 139 | 3.5 | - 44 | c | <u> </u> | - : | 0 |
| Practical Pursing - pre-program 69 13 24 1 14 18 19 18 19 19 19 19 19 | AAS | Radiography | 13 | 5 ° | 7 | • | 3 5 | £, | 43. |
| Practical Nursing - pre-program 26 6 10 16 16 16 16 16 16 | | Radiography • pre-program | 69 | <u>5</u> | 24 | _ | 2 - 2 | - 6 | 92. |
| Surgical Technology TOYAL Baking/Pastry Arts Culinary Arts Tech Food/Hospitality Management Food/Hospital | Đ | | č | _ | | • | 5 | 8 | į |
| Surgical Technology 8 2 17 6 2 2 Yord/L 625 144 186 16 279 346 Baking/Pastry Arts 8 0 3 1 4 4 Culinary Arts Tech 53 15 7 2 29 24 Food/Hospitality Management 51 12 3 24 27 FOTAL 112 27 6 57 55 | | | 9 | æ | <u></u> | | 9 | 16 | 38.5% |
| Surgical Tech - pre-program 28 7 17 625 144 186 16 279 346 TOTAL Baking/Pestry Arts 8 0 3 1 4 4 Culinary Arts Tech 53 15 7 2 29 24 Food/Hospitality Management 51 12 3 24 27 FOTAL 112 27 6 57 55 | <u>.</u> | Surgical Technology | œ | - | | | • | • | i |
| ### Packing Pastry Arts | | Surgical Tech - pre-program | 28 | 1 | 17 | | ₽ ₹ | 2 2 | 75.0% |
| Baking/Pastry Arts Culinary Arts Tech 53 15 7 2 29 24 27 Culinary Arts Tech 51 12 12 3 24 27 COTAL 112 27 6 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 5 | TOOHUS | VAYA | | | | | • | 5 | • |
| Baking/Pastry Arts 8 0 3 1 4 4 Culinary Arts Tech 53 15 7 2 29 24 Food/Hospitality Management 51 12 12 3 24 27 FOTAL 112 27 22 6 57 55 | 700100 | | 625 | 144 | 186 | 16 | 279 | 346 | 44.6% |
| Baking/Pastry Arts 8 0 3 1 4 4 4 Culinary Arts Tech 53 15 7 2 29 24 Food/Hospitality Management 51 12 3 24 27 SOL TOTAL 112 22 6 57 55 | HOSPITALITY | ! . | | | | | | | |
| Culinary Arts Tech 1 4 4 Food/Hospitality Management 51 15 2 29 24 50L TOTAL 112 22 6 57 55 | AAS | Baking/Pastry Arts | α | _ | - | • | • | | |
| 24 29 24 29 24 27 29 27 27 22 6 57 55 55 55 | AAS | Culinary Arts Tech | 2.0 | , f | 1 0 | - (| 4 | 4 | 20.0 |
| 12 27 22 6 57 27 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 | AAS | Food/Hospitality Management | 8 5 | 2 6 | - 5 | N C | 5.3 | 24 | 54.7% |
| 112 27 22 6 57 55 | | | | | <u>-</u> | 9 | 42 | /7 | 47.1 |
| | SCHOOL I | OIAL | 112 | 27 | 22 | 9 | 57 | 55 | 50.9% |



• --

...

TABLE 1A
ADMISSIONS STATUS OF NEW APPLICANTS
BY SCHOOL & MAJOR
FALL 1995

| | | | | | Tuition Deposit Paid | | | |
|--------------------|--|-----------------|---------------------|-------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|
| SITE/SCHOOL | | Accepted | Tultion | | Registered | tered | | |
| Degree(a) | Malor | Into College | Deposit Not Pald | Not Begistered | Withdraw Before 3rd Wk | Total Matriculants | Non- Matriculants | Matriculation Rate |
| INDUSTRIAL AND EL | INDUSTRIAL AND ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGIES | | _ | | | | | |
| | Electronics Engineering Tech | 55 | - | L C. | - | 4 | ď | 1 |
| BS Mar | Manufacturing Engineering Tech | 8 | 90 | · e | - | 2 0 | 9 0 | 12.7% |
| | Plastics/Polymer Engineering Tech | 14 | - | • | • | n (| 7 0 (| %0.0c |
| | Welding/Fabrication Engineering Tech | : ~ | | | | <u>v</u> c | O 100 | 85.7% |
| AAS Aut | Automated Manufacturing Tech | Ţ | • | | | | • | 8,00 |
| AAS | Civil Engineering Tech | 2 5 | - 1 | | | 12 | က | 80.0% |
| | Flactronics Tech | ٠, | 7 | S. | | 25 | 51 | %9'29 |
| | Plastics/Polymer Tech | 2 | 53 | 31 | S | 86 | 92 | 60.1% |
| | Quality Assurance Tech | 47 | | 9 | _ | 12 | 5 | 20.0% |
| AAS Sun | Surveying Tech | 4 (| 5 (| | - | <u>~</u> | ~ | 20.0% |
| | Toolmaking Tech | D (| 57 | ر م <u>ر</u> | | 4 | S | 44.4% |
| | Vocational Teacher Education | . | | _ | | 8 | 2 | 79.2% |
| | ding Tech | N (| 2 | | | 0 | ~ | 0.0 |
| | Wood Products Menufacturies | 8 | O) | 6 | - | 19 | 19 | 20.0% |
| | אין ייטטיניא ואופווטופרוטווווון | 90 | 0 | - | | S | - | 83.3% |
| C2 Mac | Machinist General | 9 | - | • | • | | | |
| | Welding | 2 | יא כ | ? u | N | = " | ∞ ; | 57.9% |
| | | | - | > | | 6 | = | 35.3% |
| SCHOOL TOTAL | | 438 | 72 | 84 | 13 | 269 | 169 | 61.4% |
| INTEGRATED STUDIES | S | | | | | | • | • |
| BS Ann | Annied Human Septines | • | | | | | | |
| | Graphic Design | 84.4 | = ; | © | ෆ | 24 | 22 | 52.2% |
| | | 5 | 7 | 30 | _ | ဗ္ဗ | 21 | 61.1% |
| | Advertising Art | 47 | | + | • | ć | | • |
| | ó | : ^ | ٠ . | - | _ | 77 | 3 | 46.8% |
| | Broadcast Comm | . 6 | • | - ‹ | | - : | - | 20.0% |
| AAS Early | Early Childhood Education | 1 8 | • ç | 7 | • | 5 | 7 | 68.2% |
| | General Studies | 600 | 2 6 | 42. | - | - S | 38 | 44.9% |
| | Graphic Communication | 5 | 9 0 | 94 | _ | 117 | 84 | 57.4% |
| | Human Services | 2 6 | ָּיָם פּי | | • | 21 | 19 | 25.5% |
| AAS Indiv | Individual Studies | 3 - | ō c | 47. | | 64 | 9 | 51.8% |
| AAA Mass | Mass Comm | - 5 | 5 • | , | _ | n | 4 | 42.9% |
| | Pre-Engineering | 2 4 | . | N C | | 7 | 9 | 53.8% |
| | D | n | 5 | N . | | ਲ | 63 | %0.09 |
| SCHOOL TOTAL | and the second s | 502 | X | | | | | |
| | | 280 | 211 | 151 | 6 | 320 | 272 | 54.1% |
| | | - | _ | _ | | _ | | |



TABLE 1A
ADMISSIONS STATUS OF NEW APPLICANTS
BY SCHOOL & MAJOR
FALL 1995

| SITE/SCHOOL Degree(a) NATURAL RESOUR | | ACCANIAG | - | | CHICAL COLOSIL FOR | | | |
|--|---|----------------|---------------------|----------------|------------------------|-------------|--------------|---------------|
| NATURAL RESOLIE | Major | into | Deposit Not Paid | Not | Withdrew Refore 3rd Wk | Total | Non- | Matriculation |
| TOANGEL | NATURAL RESOURCES MANAGEMENT/ | | | | OIL DISTRICT | Matterialis | Marticulanis | Hale |
| BS Automotive Tech Man | ON IECTROLOGIES Automotive Tech Management | 80 | 0 | | | · · | • | 3 63 |
| AAS | Auto Body Technology | 2 | • | | | 5 | 9 | 92.3% |
| | Automotive Engineering Tech | \$: | ~ | ∞ (| - | 13 | Ξ | 54.2 |
| | Automotive Service Management | - 0 | 7 - | 2 | | S | 9 | 45.6 |
| | Automotive Tech-general | | - 6 | • | • | ∞ ; | - | 88.6 |
| | Automotive Tech-Ford ASSET | 3 6 | 9 0 | 20.0 | 8 | 4 | 4 | 20.0 |
| AAS Aut | Automotive Tech-Toyota | 3 6 | V | • | | 17 | œ | 989 |
| | Atthemotive Technology | | N | | | 3 | 0 | 100.0 |
| AAS Die | | 25 | 22 | 25 | 8 | 19 | 49 | 55.5 |
| | Environmental Tech | * 6 | 2 0 | 30 (| _ | 5 | 12 | 50.0 |
| | Forest Tech-Forestry/Wood Products | 8 8 | 0 | * | _ | 9 | 15 | 40.0 |
| | Heavy Construction Equipment Technology | 3 4 | <u>.</u> | 6 | ~ | 47 | 46 | 50.5 |
| AAS Inte | Interior Plantscape/Floral Design | 4 6 | ח מ | 27 | | 27 | 21 | 50.0 |
| | Landscape/Nursery Tech | 5 6 | <u> </u> | 9 | ~ | 80 | 15 | 34.8% |
| | | P | - | * | - | 56 | 22 | 54.2 |
| C S | Automotive Service Technician | 28 | 10 | σ | | • | Ş | Š |
| | Diesel Technician | 16 | ~ | 7 | | 7 0 | 2 | 32.1 |
| | Heavy Construction Equipmant Technician | 24 | - | 7 | • | ` \$ | J . | 43.8% |
| C-1 Aut | Auto Body Technician | 80 | _ c | | Ī | C | 2 (| 2.00 |
| Aviation Center | | | | | | v | O | %0.6 % |
| | Aviation Technology | 8 | • | | | | | |
| | Avionics Technology | 3 - | 4 0 | ∞ (| • | 2, | 27 | 63.6% |
| | ì | • | J | 3 | _ | | \$ | 45.5 |
| C 2 Avla | Avlation Maintenance Technician | တ | 0 | ਲ | | ~ | m | 40 0% |
| SCHOOL TOTAL | | 679 | | | | | | |
| | | 75 | 90 | 145 | 13 | 276 | 566 | 20.9% |
| MAIN CAMPUS TOTAL | 71 | 3413 | 645 | 841 | 83 | 1844 | 1569 | 54.0% |

BEST COPY AVAILABLE



.;•

TABLE 1A
ADMISSIONS STATUS OF NEW APPLICANTS
BY SCHOOL & MAJOR
FALL 1995

| | | Accepted | | | Registered | tered | | |
|------------------------|--|-----------------|---------------------|-------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|
| Degree(a) | Major | into College | Deposit Not Paid | Not Registered | Withdrew Before 3rd Wk | Total Matriculants | Non- Matriculants | Matriculation Bate |
| NORTH CAMPUS | | | | | | | | |
| AAS (b) |) Accounting | Ξ | _4 | · | | ú | • | |
| | _ | . 5 | · C | J | · | o ç | Φ (| 45.5% |
| AAS (b) | _ | : ~ | • | • | • | 2 • | N (| 83.3% |
| AAS (b) | Computer Info Systems-Micro Specialist | | 10 | • | - | - c | φ- | 14.3% |
| AAS (b) | ٠_ | α | , | | | | | 0.0% |
| _ | General Studies | . 5 | V C | . | - | - (| ~ (| 12.5% |
| _ | Human Services | | , c | _ | • | 2 ; | N (| 83.3% |
| _ | | ; - | N C | D • | - | 2 | 2 | 45.5% |
| _ | _ | - 4 | - | - | | 5 6 | - • | %0.0 |
| _ | Ξ | • | - (| | | | - | 75.0% |
| AAS (h) | | • | 7 | | | _ | с | 25.0% |
| | | ω <u>ς</u> | 0 (| n 0 | | io o | e . | 62.5% |
| | • | | 7 | 7 | | 9 | 4 | %0.09 |
| | | 20 | ~ | ις. | 0 | Ξ | 7 | 61.1% |
| C 5 (P) | | 4 | - c | - | | • | • | 1 |
| | Practical Nursing - pre-program | 15 | 4 | · 60 | - | o 1~ | - ∞ | 73.0% 46.7% |
| HABYL ANTIBUR VAN | TAXA VIII | | | | | | | |
| NOR IN CAMP | US TOTAL | F#4 | 19 | 26 | 5 | 61 | 50 | 55.0% |
| TOTALS BY DEGREE LEVEL | 3EE LEVEL | | | | | | | |
| BS | Bachelor of Science | 428 | oa | 70 | ; | 77.0 | | |
| ¥ ¥ | Associate of Arts | 218 | 8 5 | * • | • | 1 5 5 | 8 | 35.3% |
| S A | Associate of Science | 2 - | , • | 2 | - • | // | 68 | 28.8% |
| AAA | Associate of Applied Arts | ` 6 | - <u>.</u> | n (| ο, | 4 ; | es (| 57.1% |
| AAS | Associate of Applied Science | 70.0 | 2 | 7.7 | _ | 44 | 88 | 53.7% |
| 9 | Codificate of Applied Octobro | 2480 | 460 | 611 | 64 | 1345 | 1135 | 54.2% |
| y • | Certificate, Z-Tear | 241 | 45 | 2 | 7 | 119 | 122 | 49.4% |
| <u>.</u> | Certificate, 1-7 ear | 20 | 15 | 53 | - | 25 | 45 | 35.7% |
| | Pre-Major | 594 | 148 | 184 | 15 | 247 | 347 | 41.6% |
| ANI HAP TOTAL | | | | | | | | |
| COLLEGE 10TAL | | 3524 | 664 | 867 | 88 | [506] | 1619 | 54.1% |

⁽a) BS-Bachelor of Science, A A=Associate of Arts, A S=Assoc. of Science, AAA=Assoc. of Applied Arts, AAS=Assoc. of Applied Science, C 2=2-year Certificate, C·1=1-year Certif. (b) Additional students applied to this major at other campuses or sites.

TABLE 18 NON-MATRICULANTS & MATRICULATION RATES BY SCHOOL & MAJOR FALL 1995

| [E/SCHOOL | | | Accepted | Total | Matric- | | on- culants | | rvey conses |
|--|------------------------------|--|--|---------------------------------------|---|---------------------------------------|---|--------------------------------|--|
| Degree | (a) | Maior | into | Matric- | lation | | % of | | Respons |
| - Angelow | <u></u> | Major | College | ulants | Rate | N | Total | N | Rate |
| IN CAMPUS | | | | | | | | | |
| BUSINESS | & C | OMPUTER TECHNOLOGIES | | | | | | | |
| BS | | Business Administration | 64 | 36 | 56.3% | 28 | 1.7% | 14 | E0 00 |
| BS | | Computer Information Technology | 32 | 17 | 53.1% | 15 | 0.9% | 8 | 50.0% |
| BS | | Legal Assistant Studies | 13 | 8 | 61.5% | 5 | 0.3% | 3 | 53.39 |
| BS | | Technology Management | 11 | 7 | 63.6% | 4 | 0.3% | 0 | 60.09 0.09 |
| 446 | | A | | | | - | 0.270 | · | 0.07 |
| AAS AAS | (b) (b) | Accounting | 60 | 38 | 63.3% | 22 | 1.4% | 12 | 54.5% |
| AAS | (b) | Business Management | 89 | 55 | 61.8% | 34 | 2.1% | 17 | 50.0% |
| AAS | (b) | Computer Information Systems Legal Assistant (Paralegal) | 113 | 63 | 55.8% | 50 | 3.1% | 24 | 48.09 |
| AAS | (0) | Office Information Systems | 51 | 33 | 64.7% | 18 | 1.1% | 9 | 50.0% |
| AAS | (b) | Office Technology | 12 | 6 | 50.0% | 6 | 0.4% | 5 | 83.3% |
| 70.0 | (υ) | Office real fology | 52 | 29 | 55.8% | 23 | 1.4% | 13 | 56.5% |
| C-1 | | Computer Applications Technology | 16 | 9 | 56.3% | 7 | 0.4% | 6 | 85.7% |
| SCHOO | L TC | OTAL | 513 | 301 | 50.70 | | | | |
| | | · · · · - | 513 | 301 | 58.7% | 212 | 13.1% | 111 | 52.4% |
| CONSTRUC | TIO | N & DESIGN TECHNOLOGIES | | | | | | | |
| BS | | Construction Management | 28 | 16 | 57.1% | 12 | 0.7% | 6 | 50.0% |
| BS | | Heating/Ventilation/AC (HVAC) Tech (BS) | 14 | 11 | 78.6% | 3 | 0.2% | 1 | 33.3% |
| AAS | | Architectural Technology | 107 | 60 | 56.1% | 47 | 2.9% | | |
| AAS | | Building Construction Technology | 107 | 65 | 60.7% | 42 | | 24 | 51.1% |
| AAS | | Computer-Aided Drafting Tech/Design | 66 | 41 | 62.1% | 25 | 2.6% | 22 | 52.4% |
| AAS | | Electrical Tech | 47 | 19 | 40.4% | 28 | 1.5% | 14 | 56.0% |
| AAS | | HVAC Technology | 94 | 57 | 60.6% | 37 | 1.7% | 17 | 60.7% |
| AAS | | Industrial Maintenance Technology | 31 | 19 | 61.3% | 12 | 2.3% 0.7% | 20 7 | 54.1% 58.3% |
| C 2 | | Construction/Carpentry | 47 | 24 | 54.40 | | | | |
| C 2 | | Electrical Occupations | 40 | 24 26 | 51.1% | 23 | 1.4% | 11 | 47.8% |
| C-1 | | · | | 20 | 65.0% | 14 | 0.9% | 7 | 50.0% |
| | | Plumbing | 10 | 4 | 40.0% | 6 | 0.4% | 3 | 50.0% |
| SCHOOL | L TO | TAL | 591 | 342 | 57.9% | 249 | 15.4% | 132 | 53.0% |
| HEALTH SC | IEN(| DES . | | | | | | | |
| BS | | Dental Hygiene (BS) | 7 | 6 | 85.7% | | 0.404 | | |
| | | Dental Hygiene (BS) - pre-program | 6 | 3 | 50.0% | 1 | 0.1% | 1 | 100.0% |
| | | Physician Assistant - pre-program | 89 | 38 | 42.7% | 3 51 | 0.2% 3.2% | 2 25 | 66.7% |
| AAS | | Destate to the | | • | 72.7.70 | 31 | 3.2 / | 25 | 49.0% |
| AAS | | Dental Hygiene | 6 | 5 | 83.3% | 1 | 0.1% | 1 | 100.0% |
| AAS | | Dental Hygiene - pre-program | 85 | 27 | 31.8% | 58 | 3.6% | 29 | 50.0% |
| 773 | | Health Arts | 3 | 1 | 33.3% | 2 | 0.1% | 1 | 50.0% |
| AAC | | Nursing | 12 | 10 | 83.3% | 2 | 0.1% | i | 50.0% |
| AAS | b) | Nursing - Advance Placement candidate | 25 | 17 | 68.0% | 8 | 0.5% | 4 | 50.0% |
| (| | Nursing - pre-program | 108 | 49 | 45.4% | 59 | 3.6% | 29 | 49.2% |
| (| b) | | 100 | | | 1 | 0.1% | 1 | 100.0% |
| (| D) | Occupational Therapy Assisting | 1 | 0 | 0.0% | | | | 51.9% |
| AAS (| 0) | Occupational Therapy Assisting Occupational Therapy Assisting - pre-program | | 0 60 | 0.0% 43.2% | 79 | 4.9% | | 01.570 |
| (| D) | Occupational Therapy Assisting Occupational Therapy Assisting - pre-program Radiography | 1 | | | | 4.9% 0.1% | 41 1 | 100 0% |
| AAS AAS | | Occupational Therapy Assisting Occupational Therapy Assisting - pre-program Radiography Radiography - pre-program | 1 139 | 60 | 43.2% | 79 | | 1 19 | 100.0% 50.0% |
| AAS AAS | | Occupational Therapy Assisting Occupational Therapy Assisting - pre-program Radiography | 1 139 13 | 60 12 | 43.2% 92.3% | 79 1 | 0.1% | 1 | |
| AAS AAS | b) | Occupational Therapy Assisting Occupational Therapy Assisting - pre-program Radiography Radiography - pre-program Practical Nursing - pre-program Surgical Technology | 1 139 13 69 26 | 60 12 31 | 43.2% 92.3% 44.9% 38.5% | 79 1 38 16 | 0.1% 2.3% 1.0% | 1 19 8 | 50.0% 50.0% |
| AAS AAS | b) | Occupational Therapy Assisting Occupational Therapy Assisting - pre-program Radiography Radiography - pre-program Practical Nursing - pre-program | 1 139 13 69 | 60 12 31 | 43.2% 92.3% 44.9% | 79 1 38 | 0.1% 2.3% | 1 19 | 50.0% |
| AAS AAS | b) | Occupational Therapy Assisting Occupational Therapy Assisting - pre-program Radiography Radiography - pre-program Practical Nursing - pre-program Surgical Technology Surgical Tech - pre-program | 1 139 13 69 26 | 60 12 31 10 | 43.2% 92.3% 44.9% 38.5% 75.0% 14.3% | 79 1 38 16 2 24 | 0.1% 2.3% 1.0% 0.1% 1.5% | 1 19 8 1 12 | 50.0% 50.0% 50.0% 50.0% |
| AAS AAS C-1 SCHOOL | b) | Occupational Therapy Assisting Occupational Therapy Assisting - pre-program Radiography Radiography - pre-program Practical Nursing - pre-program Surgical Technology Surgical Tech - pre-program | 1 139 13 69 26 8 28 | 60 12 31 10 6 4 | 43.2% 92.3% 44.9% 38.5% | 79 1 38 16 | 0.1% 2.3% 1.0% 0.1% | 1 19 8 | 50.0% 50.0% 50.0% |
| AAS AAS C-1 SCHOOL | ь) ТО [*] Y | Occupational Therapy Assisting Occupational Therapy Assisting - pre-program Radiography Radiography - pre-program Practical Nursing - pre-program Surgical Technology Surgical Tech - pre-program | 1 139 13 69 26 8 28 | 60 12 31 10 6 4 | 43.2% 92.3% 44.9% 38.5% 75.0% 14.3% | 79 1 38 16 2 24 346 | 0.1% 2.3% 1.0% 0.1% 1.5% | 1 19 8 1 12 | 50.0% 50.0% 50.0% 50.0% |
| AAS AAS C-1 SCHOOL | ь) . ТО ⁻ Y | Occupational Therapy Assisting Occupational Therapy Assisting - pre-program Radiography Radiography - pre-program Practical Nursing - pre-program Surgical Technology Surgical Tech - pre-program TAL Baking/Pastry Arts | 1 139 13 69 26 8 28 | 60 12 31 10 6 4 | 43.2% 92.3% 44.9% 38.5% 75.0% 14.3% 44.6% | 79 1 38 16 2 24 346 | 0.1% 2.3% 1.0% 0.1% 1.5% 21.4% | 1 19 8 1 12 176 | 50.0% 50.0% 50.0% 50.0% 50.9% |
| AAS AAS C-1 SCHOOL HOSPITALITT AAS | ь) ТО [*] Y | Occupational Therapy Assisting Occupational Therapy Assisting - pre-program Radiography Radiography - pre-program Practical Nursing - pre-program Surgical Technology Surgical Technology Surgical Technology Albert - pre-program FAL Baking/Pastry Arts Culinary Arts Tech | 1 139 13 69 26 8 28 625 | 60 12 31 10 6 4 279 | 43.2% 92.3% 44.9% 38.5% 75.0% 14.3% 44.6% = | 79 1 38 16 2 24 346 | 0.1% 2.3% 1.0% 0.1% 1.5% 21.4% | 1 19 8 1 12 176 | 50.0% 50.0% 50.0% 50.0% 50.9% 50.0% |
| AAS AAS C-1 SCHOOL HOSPITALIT AAS AAS | ь) ТО [*] Y | Occupational Therapy Assisting Occupational Therapy Assisting - pre-program Radiography Radiography - pre-program Practical Nursing - pre-program Surgical Technology Surgical Tech - pre-program TAL Baking/Pastry Arts | 1 139 13 69 26 8 28 | 60 12 31 10 6 4 | 43.2% 92.3% 44.9% 38.5% 75.0% 14.3% 44.6% | 79 1 38 16 2 24 346 | 0.1% 2.3% 1.0% 0.1% 1.5% 21.4% | 1 19 8 1 12 176 | 50.0% 50.0% 50.0% 50.0% 50.9% |



TABLE 1B NON-MATRICULANTS & MATRICULATION RATES BY SCHOOL & MAJOR FALL 1995

| | | Accepted | Total | Matric- | No Matric | ulants | | rvey onses |
|------------------------|---|----------------|----------------------|----------------|--------------|--------------|-------|----------------|
| ITE/SCHOOL | | into | Matric- | lation | man | % of | 11000 | Respon |
| Degree(a) | Major | <u>College</u> | ulants | Rate | N | Total | N | Bate |
| INDUSTRIAL A | IND ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGIES | | | | | | | |
| BS | Electronics Engineering Tech | 20 | 40. | 70 70/ | | | _ | |
| BS | Manufacturing Engineering Tech | 22 18 | 16 [.] 9 | 72.7% | 6 9 | 0.4% | 2 | 33.3 |
| BS | Plastics/Polymer Engineering Tech | 14 | 12 | 50.0% | | 0.6% | 6 | 66.7 |
| BS | Welding/Fabrication Engineering Tech | 2 | 0 | 85.7% 0.0% | 2 | 0.1% 0.1% | 1 | 50.0° 50.0° |
| | • | _ | · | 0.07.0 | - | 0.176 | • | 30.0 |
| AAS AAS | Automated Manufacturing Tech Civil Engineering Tech | 15 | 12 | 80.0% | 3 | 0.2% | 3 | 100.0 |
| AAS | Electronics Tech | 37 | 25 | 67.6% | 12 | 0.7% | 6 | 50.0 |
| AAS | Plastics/Polymer Tech | 163 | 98 | 60.1% | 65 | 4.0% | 35 | 53.8 |
| AAS | Quality Assurance Tech | 24 | 12 | 50.0% | 12 | 0.7% | 7 | 58.3 |
| AAS | Surveying Tech | 4 | 2 | 50.0% | 2 | 0.1% | 2 | 100.0 |
| AAS | Toolmaking Tech | 9 | 4 | 44.4% | 5 | 0.3% | 2 | 40.0 |
| AAS | Vocational Teacher Education | 48 | 38 | 79.2% | 10 | 0.6% | 5 | 50.0 |
| AAS | Welding Tech | 2 | 0 | 0.0% | 2 | 0.1% | 1 | 50.0 |
| AAS | Wood Products Manufacturing | 38 6 | 19 5 | 50.0% 83.3% | 19 | 1.2% | 10 | 52.6 |
| | ŭ | · · | 3 | 63.3% | 1 | 0.1% | 1 | 100.0 |
| C 2 C 2 | Machinist General | 19 | 11 | 57.9% | 8 | 0.5% | 6 | 75.0 |
| C 2 | Welding | 17 | 6 | 35.3% | 11 | 0.7% | 4 | 36.4 |
| SCHOOL T | OTAL | 438 | 269 | 61.4% | 169 | 10.4% | 92 | 54.4 |
| INTEGRATED S | STIIDIES | | | | | .0.470 | 32 | 54.4 |
| BS | Applied Human Services | 40 | | ! | | | | |
| BS | Graphic Design | 46 54 | 24 33 | 52.2% | 22 | 1.4% | 9 | 40.9 |
| | | 54 | 33 | 61.1% | 21 | 1.3% | 8 | 38.1 |
| AAA | Advertising Art | 47 | 22 | 46.8% | 25 | 1.5% | 13 | 52.0 |
| AS | Biology | 2 | 1 | 50.0% | 1 | 0.1% | 1 | 100.0 |
| AAA | Broadcast Comm | 22 | 15 | 68.2% | 7 | 0.1% | i | 14.3 |
| AAS | Early Childhood Education | 69 | 31 | 44.9% | 38 | 2.3% | 19 | 50.0 |
| AA | General Studies | 204 | 117 | 57.4% | 87 | 5.4% | 46 | 52.9 |
| AAS | Graphic Communication | 40 | 21 | 52.5% | 19 | 1.2% | 10 | 52.6 |
| AAS | Human Services | 83 | 43 | 51.8% | 40 | 2.5% | 20 | 50.0 |
| AAS | Individual Studies | 7 | 3 | 42.9% | 4 | 0.2% | 3 | 75.0 |
| AAA | Mass Comm | 13 | 7 | 53.8% | 6 | 0.4% | 2 | 33.3 |
| AS | Pre-Engineering | 5 | 3 | 60.0% | 2 | 0.1% | õ | 0.0 |
| SCHOOL TO | DTAL | 592 | 320 | 54.1% | 272 | 16.8% | 132 | 48.59 |
| NATIONAL DES | DURCES MANAGEMENT/ | | | | | 10.076 | 102 | 40.5 |
| TRANSPORTAT | TON TECHNOLOGIES | | | 1 | | | | |
| BS | Automotive Tech Management | 8 | 5 | 62.5% | 3 | 0.2% | 2 | 66.7 |
| AAS | Auto Body Tochnology | | | | _ | 0.270 | ~ | 00.7 |
| AAS | Auto Body Technology | 24 | 13 | 54.2% | 11 | 0.7% | 6 | 54.59 |
| AAS | Automotive Engineering Tech | 11 | 5 | 45.5% | 6 | 0.4% | 3 | 50.0 |
| AAS | Automotive Service Management | 9 | 8 | 88.9% | 1 | 0.1% | 1 | 100.09 |
| AAS | Automotive Technology Diesel Technology | 110 | 61 | 55.5% | 49 | 3.0% | 25 | 51.0 |
| AAS | | 24 | 12 | 50.0% | 12 | 0.7% | 6 | 50.09 |
| AAS | Environmental Tech | 25 | 10 | 40.0% | 15 | 0.9% | 4 | 26.79 |
| AAS | Forest Tech-Forestry/Wood Products | 93 | 47 | 50.5% | 46 | 2.8% | 22 | 47.89 |
| AAS | Heavy Construction Equipment Technology | 42 | 21 | 50.0% | 21 | 1.3% | 11 | 52.49 |
| | Interior Plantscape/Floral Design | 23 | 8 | 34.8% | 15 | 0.9% | 9 | 60.09 |
| AAS | Landscape/Nursery Tech | 48 | 26 | 54.2% | 22 | 1.4% | 12 | 54.59 |
| C 2 | Automotive Service Technician | 28 | 9 | 32.1% | 19 | 1.2% | 10 | 52.69 |
| C 2 | Diesel Technician | 16 | 7 | 43.8% | 9 | 0.6% | 5 | 55.69 |
| C 2 | Heavy Construction Equipment Technician | 24 | 14 | 58.3% | 10 | 0.6% | 5 | 50.09 |
| C-1 | Auto Body Technician | 8 | • | 25 00 | • | | | |
| | | 0 | 2 | 25.0% | 6 | 0.4% | 4 | 66.79 |
| Aviation Center AAS | Aviation Tachnology | | | | | | | |
| AAS | Aviation Technology Avionics Technology | 33 11 | 21 | 63.6% | 12 | 0.7% | 9 | 75.0% |
| | •• | 11 | 5 | 45.5% | 6 | 0.4% | 5 | 83.3% |
| C 2 | Aviation Maintenance Technician | 5 | 2 | 40.0% | 3 | 0.2% | 2 | 66.79 |
| | | | | | | | | |
| SCHOOL TO | TAL | 542 | 276 | 50.9% | 266 | 16.4% | 141 | 53.0% |



TABLE 1B NON-MATRICULANTS & MATRICULATION RATES BY SCHOOL & MAJOR FALL 1995

| SITE/SCHOO Degre | _ | •••• | Accepted into | Total Matric- | Matric- lation | | on- culants % of | Resp | vey onses Respons |
|---------------------|------|---------------------------------|---------------|------------------|-------------------|--------|------------------------|------|-------------------------|
| | टाका | <u>Major</u> | College | ulants | Rate | N | <u> Total</u> | N | Bate |
| MAIN CAL | MPUS | TOTAL | 3413 | 1844 | 54.0% | 1569 | 96.9% | 811 | 51.7% |
| NORTH CAMI | PUS | | | | | | 00.070 | 0 | 31.776 |
| AAS | (b) | Accounting | | | 1 | | | | |
| AAS | (b) | Business Management | 11 | 5 | 45.5% | 6 | 0.4% | 5 | 83.3% |
| AAS | (b) | Computer Information Systems | 12 | 10 | 83.3% | 2 | 0.1% | 1 | 50.0% |
| AA | (b) | General Studies | . 8 | 1 | 12.5% | 7 | 0.4% | 6 | 85.7% |
| AAS | (b) | Human Services | 12 | 10 | 83.3% | 2 | 0.1% | 2 | 100.0% |
| AAS | (b) | Individual Studies | 22 | 10 | 45.5% | 12 | 0.7% | 7 | 58.3% |
| AAS | (b) | Legal Assistant (Paralegal) | 1 | 0 | 0.0% | 1 | 0.1% | 1 | 100.0% |
| | (b) | Nursing - pre-program | 4 | 3 | 75.0% | 1 | 0.1% | 0 | 0.0% |
| AAS | (b) | Office Technology | 4 | 1 | 25.0% | 3 | 0.2% | 3 | 100.0% |
| | (-, | omeo realiology | 18 | 11 | 61.1% | 7 | 0.4% | 6 | 85.7% |
| C2 | (b) | Practical Nursing | 4 | 3 | 75.0% | | | | |
| C 2 | (b) | Practical Nursing - pre-program | 15 | 7 | 46.7% | 1 8 | 0.1% | 1 | 100.0% |
| | | | | • | 40.7% | 8 | 0.5% | 6 | 75.0% |
| NORTH C | AMPU | STOTAL | 111 | 61 | 55.0% | 50 | 3.1% | 38 | 76.0% |
| OTALS BY D | EGRE | E LEVEL | | | | | | | |
| BS | | Bachelor of Science | | | | | | | |
| AA | | Associate of Arts | 428 | 241 | 56.3% | 187 | 11.6% | 89 | 47.6% |
| AS | | Associate of Science | 216 | 127 | 58.8% | 89 | 5.5% | 48 | 53.9% |
| AAA | | Associate of Applied Arts | 7 | 4 | 57.1% | 3 | 0.2% | 1 | 33.3% |
| AAS | | Associate of Applied Science | 82 | 44 | 53.7% | 38 | 2.3% | 16 | 42.1% |
| C2 | | Certificate, 2-Year | 2480 | 1345 | 54.2% | 1135 | 70.1% | 604 | 53.2% |
| C-1 | | Certificate, 1-Year | . 241 | 119 | 49.4% | 122 | 7.5% | 65 | 53.3% |
| • | | Cermicale, 1-Year | 70 | 25 | 35.7% | 45 | 2.8% | 26 | 57.8% |
| | | Pre-Major | 594 | 247 | 41.6% | 347 | 21.4% | 178 | 51.3% |
| OLLEGE TO | ΓAL | | 3524 | 1905 | 54.1% | 1619 | 100.0% | 849 | 52,4% |

⁽a) BS=Bachelor of Science, A A=Associate of Arts, A S=Assoc. of Science, AAA=Assoc. of Applied Arts, AAS=Assoc. of Applied Science, C 2=2-year Certificity (b) Additional students applied to this major at other campuses or sites.

~ &



TABLE 2 NON-MATRICULANTS & MATRICULATION RATES BY COUNTY OF RESIDENCE FALL 1995

| Accepted into College | Total Matric- | Matric- lation | Matric | ulants | Resp | onses |
|-----------------------|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| | | lation I | | | | |
| College | | | | % of | | Respons |
| | ulants | Rate | И | Total | И | Rate |
| | | | | | | |
| | | 1 | | | | |
| 948 | 589 | 62.1% | 359 | 22.2% | 169 | 47.1% |
| | | - 1 | | | | ***** |
| | 41 | | 39 | 2.4% | 26 | 66.7% |
| | | | 58 | 3.6% | 33 | 56.9% |
| | | | | | 9 | 60.0% |
| | | | | | | 52.0% |
| | | | | | | 63.6% |
| | | | | | 19 | 57.6% |
| • • | | | | | 1 | 33.3% |
| | | | | | | 68.6% |
| 85 | 49 | 57.6% | 36 | 2.2% | 19 | 52.8% |
| 1820 | 1083 | 59.5% | 737 | 45.5% | 391 | 53.1% |
| | | | | | | |
| 40 | | 50.00 | | | | |
| | | | | | _ | 45.0% |
| _ | - | | _ | | - | 100.0% |
| - | | | | | | 55.0% |
| | | | | | | 53.8% |
| | | | | | - | 35.3% |
| | | | | | | 63.6% |
| _ | | | | | - | 33.3% |
| | | | | | - | 71.4% |
| - | _ | | | | | 50.0% |
| | - | | | | | 51.8% 60.0% |
| | - | - 1 | | | - | |
| 25 | 8 | | - | | - | 62.5% 41.2% |
| | | | | 1.176 | • | 41.270 |
| 470 | 228 | 48.5% | 242 | 14.9% | 127 | 52.5% |
| | | | | | | |
| 13 | 8 | 61.5% | 5 | 0.3% | 2 | 40.0% |
| 45 | 19 | 42.2% | 26 | 1.6% | 16 | 61.5% |
| 37 | 17 | 45.9% | 20 | 1.2% | | 40.0% |
| 34 | 19 | 55.9% | 15 | 0.9% | | 46.7% |
| 46 | 27 | 58.7% | 19 | 1.2% | 7 | 36.8% |
| 17 | 6 | 35.3% | 11 | 0.7% | 4 | 36.4% |
| 14 | 7 | 50.0% | 7 | 0.4% | 4 | 57.1% |
| 56 | . 30 | 53.6% | 26 | 1.6% | 17 | 65.4% |
| 26 | 16 | 61.5% | 10 | 0.6% | 4 | 40.0% |
| 53 | 32 | 60.4% | 21 | 1.3% | 15 | 71.4% |
| 8 | 6 | 75.0% | 2 | 0.1% | 1 | 50.0% |
| 17 | 3 | 17.6% | 14 | 0.9% | 6 | 42.9% |
| 59 | 33 | 55.9% | 26 | 1.6% | 11 | 42.3% |
| 425 | 223 | 52 5% | 202 | 10.59 | 100 | 50.5% |
| | 49 9 91 38 43 38 19 22 5 96 19 16 25 470 13 45 37 34 46 17 14 56 26 53 8 17 | 151 93 32 17 239 137 43 21 74 41 14 11 154 84 85 49 1820 1083 49 29 9 4 91 51 38 12 43 26 38 16 19 7 22 15 5 3 96 40 19 9 16 8 25 8 470 228 13 8 45 19 37 17 34 19 46 27 17 6 14 7 56 30 26 16 53 32 8 6 17 3 59 33 | 80 41 51.3% 151 93 61.6% 32 17 53.1% 239 137 57.3% 43 21 48.8% 74 41 55.4% 14 11 78.6% 154 84 54.5% 85 49 57.6% 1820 1083 59.5% 49 29 59.2% 9 4 44.4% 91 51 56.0% 38 12 31.6% 43 26 60.5% 38 16 42.1% 19 7 36.8% 22 15 68.2% 5 3 60.0% 96 40 41.7% 19 9 47.4% 16 8 50.0% 25 8 32.0% 470 228 48.5% 470 228 48.5% 13 8 61.5% 45 19 42.2% 37 17 45.9% 34 19 55.9% 46 27 58.7% 17 6 35.3% 14 7 50.0% 56 30 53.6% 26 16 61.5% 53 32 60.4% 8 6 75.0% 17 3 17.6% 59 33 55.9% | 80 41 51.3% 39 151 93 61.6% 58 32 17 53.1% 15 239 137 57.3% 102 43 21 48.8% 22 74 41 55.4% 33 14 11 78.6% 3 154 84 54.5% 70 85 49 57.6% 36 1820 1083 59.5% 737 49 29 59.2% 20 9 4 44.4% 5 91 51 56.0% 40 38 12 31.6% 26 43 26 60.5% 17 38 16 42.1% 22 19 7 36.8% 12 22 15 68.2% 7 5 3 60.0% 2 19 47.4% 10 16 8 50.0% 8 25 8 32.0% 17 470 228 48.5% 242 13 8 61.5% 5 45 19 42.2% 26 37 17 45.9% 20 34 19 55.9% 15 46 27 58.7% 19 17 6 35.3% 11 14 7 50.0% 7 56 30 53.6% 26 26 16 61.5% 10 53 32 60.4% 21 8 6 75.0% 2 17 3 17.6% 14 59 33 55.9% 26 | 80 41 51.3% 39 2.4% 151 93 61.6% 58 3.6% 32 17 53.1% 15 0.9% 239 137 57.3% 102 6.3% 43 21 48.8% 22 1.4% 74 41 55.4% 33 2.0% 154 84 54.5% 70 4.3% 85 49 57.6% 36 2.2% 1820 1083 59.5% 737 45.5% 49 29 59.2% 20 1.2% 9 4 44.4% 5 0.3% 91 51 56.0% 40 2.5% 38 12 31.6% 26 1.6% 43 26 60.5% 17 1.1% 38 16 42.1% 22 1.4% 19 7 36.8% 12 0.7% 22 15 68.2% 7 0.4% 5 3 60.0% 2 <td< td=""><td>80 41 51.3% 39 2.4% 26 151 93 61.6% 58 3.6% 33 32 17 53.1% 15 0.9% 9 239 137 57.3% 102 6.3% 53 43 21 48.8% 22 1.4% 14 74 41 55.4% 33 2.0% 19 14 11 78.6% 3 0.2% 1 154 84 54.5% 70 4.3% 48 85 49 57.6% 36 2.2% 19 1820 1083 59.5% 737 45.5% 391 49 29 59.2% 20 1.2% 9 9 4 44.4% 5 0.3% 5 91 51 56.0% 40 2.5% 22 38 12 31.6% 26 1.6% 14 43 26 60.5% 17 1.1% 6 38 16 42.1% 22 1.4% 14 19 7 36.8% 12 0.7% 4 19 7 36.8% 12 0.7% 4 19 7 36.8% 12 0.7% 4 19 7 36.8% 12 0.7% 4 19 9 47.4% 10 0.6% 6 16 8 50.0% 8 0.5% 5 25 8 32.0% 17 1.1% 7 470 228 48.5% 242 14.9% 127 470 228 48.5% 242 14.9% 127 470 228 48.5% 5 0.3% 2 45 19 42.2% 26 1.6% 16 37 17 45.9% 30 1.2% 8 34 19 55.9% 15 0.9% 7 46 27 58.7% 19 1.2% 7 17 6 35.3% 11 0.7% 4 14 7 50.0% 7 0.4% 4 56 30 53.6% 26 1.6% 16 53 32 60.4% 17 1.1% 7 470 128 48.5% 15 0.9% 7 46 27 58.7% 19 1.2% 7 17 6 35.3% 11 0.7% 4 14 7 50.0% 7 0.4% 4 56 30 53.6% 26 1.6% 16 53 32 60.4% 21 1.3% 15 8 6 75.0% 2 0.1% 1 17 3 17.6% 14 0.9% 6 59 33 55.9% 26 1.6% 11</td></td<> | 80 41 51.3% 39 2.4% 26 151 93 61.6% 58 3.6% 33 32 17 53.1% 15 0.9% 9 239 137 57.3% 102 6.3% 53 43 21 48.8% 22 1.4% 14 74 41 55.4% 33 2.0% 19 14 11 78.6% 3 0.2% 1 154 84 54.5% 70 4.3% 48 85 49 57.6% 36 2.2% 19 1820 1083 59.5% 737 45.5% 391 49 29 59.2% 20 1.2% 9 9 4 44.4% 5 0.3% 5 91 51 56.0% 40 2.5% 22 38 12 31.6% 26 1.6% 14 43 26 60.5% 17 1.1% 6 38 16 42.1% 22 1.4% 14 19 7 36.8% 12 0.7% 4 19 7 36.8% 12 0.7% 4 19 7 36.8% 12 0.7% 4 19 7 36.8% 12 0.7% 4 19 9 47.4% 10 0.6% 6 16 8 50.0% 8 0.5% 5 25 8 32.0% 17 1.1% 7 470 228 48.5% 242 14.9% 127 470 228 48.5% 242 14.9% 127 470 228 48.5% 5 0.3% 2 45 19 42.2% 26 1.6% 16 37 17 45.9% 30 1.2% 8 34 19 55.9% 15 0.9% 7 46 27 58.7% 19 1.2% 7 17 6 35.3% 11 0.7% 4 14 7 50.0% 7 0.4% 4 56 30 53.6% 26 1.6% 16 53 32 60.4% 17 1.1% 7 470 128 48.5% 15 0.9% 7 46 27 58.7% 19 1.2% 7 17 6 35.3% 11 0.7% 4 14 7 50.0% 7 0.4% 4 56 30 53.6% 26 1.6% 16 53 32 60.4% 21 1.3% 15 8 6 75.0% 2 0.1% 1 17 3 17.6% 14 0.9% 6 59 33 55.9% 26 1.6% 11 |



TABLE 2 NON-MATRICULANTS & MATRICULATION RATES BY COUNTY OF RESIDENCE FALL 1995

| | Accepted | Total | Matric- | | ion- culants | | irvey |
|--------------------|----------|---------|-----------------|---------|-----------------|--------|------------------|
| AREA | into | Matric- | lation | Mau | culants % of | Hesi | onses |
| County | College | ulants | Bate | И | Total | И | Response Rate |
| CENTRAL AREA | | | | | | | |
| Bedford | 17 | 7 | 41,2% | 10 | 0.6% | | |
| Blair | 41 | 22 | 53.7% | 19 | 1.2% | 4 | 40.0% |
| Cambria | 38 | 18 | 47.4% | 20 | 1.2% | 11 | 57.9% |
| Cameron | 4 | 2 | 50.0% | 20 | 0.1% | 12 | 60.0% |
| Centre | 119 | 53 | 44.5% | 66 | 4.1% | 0 | 0.0% |
| Clearfield | 53 | 31 | 58.5% | 22 | 1.4% | 43 | 65.2% |
| Eik | 60 | 30 | 50.0% | 30 | | 10 | 45.5% |
| Forest | 1 | 1 | 100.0% | 30 0 | 1.9% | 15 | 50.0% |
| Fulton | 2 | ė | 0.0% | 2 | 0.0% | 0 | #N/A |
| Huntingdon | 36 | 21 | 58.3% | 15 | 0.1% 0.9% | 2 | 100.0% |
| Juniata | 14 | 7 | 50.0% | | | 11 | 73.3% |
| McKean | 31 | 14 | 45.2% | 7 17 | 0.4% | 5 | 71.4% |
| Miffin | 27 | 9 | 33.3% | | 1.1% | 9 | 52.9% |
| Somerset | 12 | 7 | 58.3% | 18 | 1.1% | 9 | 50.0% |
| Warren | 29 | 17 | | 5 | 0.3% | 2 | 40.0% |
| ASUMO LI | | '' | 58.6% | 12 | 0.7% | 6 | 50.0% |
| CENTRAL AREA TOTAL | 484 | 239 | 49.4% | 245 | 15.1% | 139 | 56.7% |
| WEST AREA | | | 1 | | | | |
| Allegheny | 12 | 3 | 25.0% | | | | |
| Armstrong | 7 | 4 | 57.1% | 9 | 0.6% | 3 | 33.3% |
| Beaver | 5 | 3 | 60.0% | 3 | 0.2% | 1 | 33.3% |
| Butler | 4 | 3 | 75.0% | 2 | 0.1% | 1 | 50.0% |
| Clarion | 13 | 7 | | 1 | 0.1% | 1 | 100.0% |
| Crawford | 13 | 9 | 53.8% 69.2% | 6 | 0.4% | 3 | 50.0% |
| Erie | 18 | 7 | 38.9% | 4 | 0.2% | 2 | 50.0% |
| Fayette | 3 | 1 | | 11 | 0.7% | 2 | 18.2% |
| Greene | 1 | Ö | 33.3% | 2 | 0.1% | 0 | 0.0% |
| Indiana | 12 | 7 | 0.0% | 1 | 0.1% | 0 | 0.0% |
| Jefferson | 17 | 10 | 58.3% | 5 | 0.3% | 1 | 20.0% |
| Lawrence | 6 | | 58.8% | 7 | 0.4% | 5 | 71.4% |
| Mercer | 1 | 3 | 50.0% | 3 | 0.2% | 3 | 100.0% |
| Venango · | 15 | 0 | 0.0% | 1 | 0.1% | 1 | 100.0% |
| Washington | 15 | 10 | 66.7% | 5 | 0.3% | 1 | 20.0% |
| Westmoreland | 1 | 1 1 | 50.0% 100.0% | 1 0 | 0.1% 0.0% | 1 0 | 100.0% #N/A |
| WEST AREA TOTAL | 130 | 69 | 53.1% | | | | |
| ATE TOTAL | | 09 | 53.1% | 61 | 3.8% | 25 | 41.0% |
| | 3329 | 1842 | 55.3% | 1487 | 91.8% | 784 | 52.7% |
| OF-STATE | 168 | 52 | 31.0% | 116 | 7.2% | 60 | 51.7% |
| RNATIONAL | 27 | 11 | 40.7% | 16 | 1.0% | 5 | 31,3% |
| | | | | . 3 | , | • | 31.376 |
| EGE TOTAL | 3524 | 1905 | 54.1% | 1619 | 100.0% | 849 | 52.4% |



TABLE 3 NON-MATRICULANTS & MATRICULATION RATES BY SEX FALL 1995

| into <u>College</u> | Matric- ulants | lation Rate | N | % of Total | и | Response <u>Rate</u> |
|------------------------|-------------------|----------------|-----------------|---------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|
| | | | | | | |
| 1407 | 719 | 51.1% | 688 | 42.5% | 372 | 54.1% |
| 2117 | 1186 | 56.0% | 931 | 57.5% | 477 | 51.2% |
| 3524 | 1905 | 54.1% | 1619 | 100.0% | 849 | 52.4% |
| | 2117 | 2117 1186 | 2117 1186 56.0% | 2117 1186 56.0% 931 | 2117 1186 56.0% 931 57.5% | 2117 1186 56.0% 931 57.5% 477 |

TABLE 4 NON-MATRICULANTS & MATRICULATION RATES BY AGE FALL 1995

| | Assessed | T-1-1 | | | on- | | vey |
|---------------|---------------|------------------|-------------------|--------|----------------|------|-------------------------|
| | Accepted into | Total Matric- | Matric- lation | Matric | ulants % of | | <u>onses</u> Respons |
| Age | College | ulants | Rate | N | Total | N | Rate |
| 17 - 19 | 1848 | 1010 | 54.7% | 838 | 51.8% | 472 | 56.3% |
| 20 - 24 | 809 | 411 | 50.8% | 398 | 24.6% | 168 | 42.2% |
| 25 - 29 | 302 | 186 | 61.6% | 116 | 7.2% | 58 | 50.0% |
| 30 - 39 | 389 | 198 | 53.7% | 171 | 10.6% | 88 | 51.5% |
| Over 40 | 195 | 100 | 51.3% | 95 | 5.9% | 62 | 65.3% |
| Not Given | 1 | 0 | 0.0% | 1 | 0.1% | 1 | 100.0% |
| COLLEGE TOTAL | 3524 | 1905 | 54.1% | 1619 | 100.0% | 849 | 52.4% |
| MEAN AGE | | | | 23.0 | | 23.2 | |

TABLE 5 NON-MATRICULANTS & MATRICULATION RATES BY RACE/ETHNIC BACKGROUND FALL 1995

| | Accepted | Total | Matric- | | on- culants | Sun Respo | |
|-------------------|----------------|---------|---------|------|----------------|--------------|---------|
| _ | into | Matric- | tation | | % of | í | Respons |
| Bace | <u>College</u> | ulants | Rate | И | Total | И | Rate |
| African-American | 140 | 59 | 42.1% | 81 | 5.0% | 25 | 30.9% |
| Asian | 31 | 14 | 45.2% | 17 | 1.1% | 9 | 52.9% |
| Hispanic | 23 | 12 | 52.2% | 11 | 0.7% | 6 | 54.5% |
| Native American | 7 | 3 | 42.9% | 4 | 0.2% | 2 | 50.0% |
| Minority Subtotal | 201 | 88 | 43.8% | 113 | 7.0% | 42 | 37.2% |
| White | 3323 | 1817 | 54.7% | 1506 | 93.0% | 807 | 53.6% |
| OLLEGE TOTAL | 3524 | 1905 | 54.1% | 1619 | 100.0% | 849 | 52.4% |



TABLE 6 NON-MATRICULANTS & MATRICULATION RATES BY FAMILY INCOME FALL 1995

| | Accepted | Total | Matric- | | n- ulants | Sur Respo | |
|----------------------------|----------|---------|---------|------|--------------|--------------|----------|
| Fee: No. 10.000 | into | Matric- | lation | | % of | ı | Response |
| Family Income | College | ulants | Rate | Ŋ | Total | И | Rate |
| < \$19,000 | 1141 | 603 | 52.8% | 538 | 33.2% | 266 | 49.4% |
| <u>\$19,000 - \$29,999</u> | 526 | 269 | 51.1% | 257 | 15.9% | 137 | 53.3% |
| Total < \$30,000 | 1667 | 872 | 52.3% | 795 | 49.1% | 403 | 50.7% |
| \$30,000 - \$39,999 | 494 | 263 | 53.2% | 231 | 14.3% | 124 | 53.7% |
| \$40,000 - \$49,999 | 439 | 250 | 56.9% | 189 | 11.7% | 102 | 54.0% |
| <u>\$50,000 + </u> | 749 | 472 | 63.0% | 277 | 17.1% | 168 | 60.6% |
| Total < \$40,000 + | 1188 | 722 | 60.8% | 466 | 28.8% | 270 | 57.9% |
| Not Given | 175 | 48 | 27.4% | 127 | 7.8% | 52 | 40.9% |
| COLLEGE TOTAL | 3524 | 1905 | 54.1% | 1619 | 100.0% | 849 | 52.4% |

TABLE 7 NON-MATRICULANTS & MATRICULATION RATES BY EXPECTED EMPLOYMENT STATUS FALL 1995

| Expected Employment while in College | Accepted into <u>College</u> | Total Matric- ulants | Matric- lation Rate | | on- ulants % of Total | Resp | vey onses Response <u>Rate</u> |
|--|------------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------|---|
| None Part-time, < 20 hours/week Part-time, 20 + hours/week Full-time | 1042 1380 579 231 | 573 775 277 131 | 55.0% 56.2% 47.8% 56.7% | 469 605 302 100 | 31.8% 41.0% 20.5% 6.8% | 256 322 152 56 | 54.6% 53.2% 50.3% 56.0% |
| Not given | 292 | 149 | 51.0% | 143 | 8.8% | 63 | 44.1% |
| OLLEGE TOTAL | 3524 | 1905 | 54.1% | 1619 | 100.0% | 849 | 52.4% |



TABLE 8 REASONS FOR APPLYING FALL 1995

| | | | Prin | narv | Sa | condary | | Total | Fall |
|----|--|------|------|--------------|------|-----------|-----|-------------|------------------|
| | Reason | Rank | N. | % | N | % | nes | ponses % | <u>1993</u> % |
| | | | | | | ~~~ | | | |
| ١. | Parental advice/preference | 9 | 24 | 2.8% | 33 | 3.9% | 57 | 6.7% | 7.3 |
| 3. | Family member enrolled at the College | 11 | 8 | 0.9% | 20 | 2.4% | 28 | 3.3% | 3.9 |
| | Friends enrolled at the College | 5 | 35 | 4.1% | 46 | 5.4% | 81 | 9.5% | 10.4 |
|). | Distance from home | 2 | 121 | 14.3% | 101 | 11.9% | 222 | 26.1% | 27.1 |
| | Expected cost | 6 | 32 | 3.8% | 42 | 4.9% | 74 | 8.7% | 8.8 |
| | College reputation | 3 | 74 | 8.7% | 76 | 9.0% | 150 | 17.7% | 17.2 |
| ì. | Program offerings available | 1 | 435 | 51.2% | 99 | 11.7% | 534 | 62.9% | 55.1 |
| l. | H.S. Instructor/counselor recommendation | 4 | 42 | 4.9% | 38 | 4.5% | 80 | 9.4% | 12.1 |
| | Open admissions policy | 10 | 12 | 1.4% | 33 | 3.9% | 45 | 5.3% | 7.6 |
| | College recruiting/advertising | 12 | 6 | 0.7% | 16 | 1.9% | 22 | 2.6% | 3.4 |
| | Prior enrollment at the College | 7 | 29 | 3.4% | 14 | 1.6% | 43 | 5.1% | |
| | Penn State affiliation | 8 | 27 | 3.2% | 69 | 8.1% | 96 | 11.3% | 5.6 |
| | Other: | | | 0.270 | 00 | 0.178 | 30 | 11.3% | 11.4 |
| | Backup choice | | 0 | 0.0% | 0 | 0.0% | 0 | 0.00/ | |
| | Impression from visit | | ŏ | 0.0% | ŏ | 0.0% | Ö | 0.0% | 0.1 |
| | Personal reasons | | ō | 0.0% | ŏ | 0.0% | Ö | 0.0% | 0.2 |
| | Size | 13 | 2 | 0.2% | ŏ | 0.0% | 2 | 0.0% | 0.1 |
| | | | - | 0.276 | U | 0.0% | 2 | 0.2% | 0.1 |
| | Not Given | | 2 | 0.2% | 262 | 30.9% | | 1 | |
| , | | | _ | | | 33.370 | | ļ | |
| | TOTAL RESPONDENTS | = | 849 | 100.0% | 849 | 100.0% | 849 | 100.0% | 00 |
| | | | | | - 10 | . 55.5 /6 | U+3 | 100.0% | 82 |



TABLE 9 REASONS FOR NOT ENROLLING **FALL 1995**

| | | | Prim | 120/ | e- | oondoo. | . | | | otal | Fall |
|----|---|---|------|---------|------------|----------------|----------|--------|-----|---------|-------|
| | Reason | Rank | N | | <u>Sec</u> | condary I % | | tiary | | sponses | 1993 |
| | | 1 101 111 | | | | <u>%</u> | | % | | V % | % |
| A. | | 13 | 12 | 1.4% | 9 | 1.1% | 40 | 4 404 | | | |
| ₿. | Insufficient financial aid | 2 | 144 | 17.0% | 39 | 4.6% | 12 | 1.4% | | | |
| C. | Expected cost (after financial aid) | 4 | 75 | 8.8% | .71 | 4.6% 8.4% | 32 | 3.8% | | 25.3% | |
| D. | Insufficiant employment income | 9 | 28 | 3.3% | 42 | 4.9% | 32 | 3.8% | | 21.0% | |
| | . , | • | 20 | 3.376 | 42 | 4.9% | 31 | 3.7% | 101 | 11.9% | 15.5° |
| E. | Unsatisfactory housing | 10 | 21 | 2.5% | 34 | 4.0% | 26 | 2 400 | | | 1 |
| F. | Distance from home | 7 | 41 | 4.8% | 60 | 7.1% | 25 25 | 3.1% | 81 | 9.5% | |
| G. | Chose another college | 1 | 171 | 20.1% | 55 | 6.5% | | 2.9% | 126 | 14.8% | |
| Н. | Postponed college plans | 3 | 133 | 15.7% | 72 | 8.5% | 34 65 | 4.0% | 260 | 30.6% | |
| | - • | • | .00 | 13.7 /6 | 12 | 0.5% | 65 | 7.7% | 270 | 31.8% | 33.09 |
| I. | Found/seeking satisfactory employment | 5 | 62 | 7.3% | 43 | 5.1% | 42 | 4.004 | | | l |
| J. | Uncertain career goals | 8 | 38 | 4.5% | 73 | 8.6% | 46 | 4.9% | 147 | 17.3% | 12.5% |
| K. | Personal/family obligations | 6 | 53 | 6.2% | 50 | 5.9% | | 5.4% | 157 | 18.5% | 20.49 |
| L. | Health | 11 | 20 | 2.4% | 10 | | 42 | 4.9% | 145 | 17.1% | 16.19 |
| | | • | 20 | 2.4 /0 | 10 | 1.2% | 5 | 0.6% | 35 | 4.1% | 3.49 |
| M. | Other: | | | | | | | ł | | | |
| M. | Academic difficulties | 19 | 2 | 0.2% | 3 | 0.4% | | | _ | | |
| M. | Admissions/transfer problems | 15 | 5 | 0.2% | 3 | | | 0.0% | 5 | 0.6% | 1.19 |
| M. | Applied late | 20 | 2 | 0.0% | J | 0.4% 0.1% | | 0.0% | 8 | 0.9% | 0.79 |
| M. | College location/atmosphere | 16 | 4 | 0.5% | ı | | _ | 0.0% | 3 | 0.4% | 0.0% |
| | | | | 0.5% | | 0.0% | 3 | 0.4% | 7 | 0.8% | 0.3% |
| М. | College too big/fast-paced | 22 | 1 | 0.1% | 1 | 0.1% | | | _ | | |
| М. | Curriculum requirements (a) | 17 | 4 | 0.1% | i | 0.1% | | 0.0% | 2 | 0.2% | 0.0% |
| М. | Dissatisfaction w/office/staff/faculty | 23 | 1 | 0.1% | 1 | 0.1% | 1 | 0.1% | 6 | 0.7% | 0.2% |
| М. | Financial aid guidelines | | • | 0.1% | , | | | 0.0% | 2 | 0.2% | 0.0% |
| | • | | | 0.076 | | 0.0% | | 0.0% | 0 | 0.0% | 0.1% |
| М. | Financial aid/scholarships lacking | 21 | 1 | 0.1% | 3 | 0.40/ | | | | | |
| М. | General uncertainty/fear of college | 27 | • | 0.1% | 3 | 0.4% | _ | 0.0% | 4 | 0.5% | 0.1% |
| М. | Moved/no transportation | 26 | | 0.0% | | 0.0% | 1 | 0.1% | 1 | 0.1% | 0.0% |
| M. | Program desired unavailable/filled | 12 | 13 | 1.5% | 1 5 | 0.1% | | 0.0% | 1 | 0.1% | 0.6% |
| | | 12 | 13 | 1.5% | 5 | 0.6% | 4 | 0.5% | 22 | 2.6% | 7.0% |
| М. | Program not accredited | 18 | 3 | 0.4% | 1 | 0.40/ | | | | | |
| М. | Sports/activities desired tacking (b) | 25 | 3 | 0.4% | 1 | 0.1% | _ | 0.0% | 4 | 0.5% | 0.2% |
| М. | Time/schedule/work conflict | 14 | 6 | 0.0% | 1 | 0.1% | 1 | 0.1% | 2 | 0.2% | 0.6% |
| М. | Type of college | 24 | 1 | 0.7% | 1 | 0.1% | | 0.0% | 7 | 0.8% | 0.2% |
| | • | | • | 0.176 | | 0.0% | | 0.0% | 1 | 0.1% | 0.2% |
| | Not Given | | 8 | 0.9% | 269 | 31.7% | 447 | 52.7% | | ľ | |
| ٠ | TOTAL RESPONDENTS | = | 849 | 100.0% | 849 | 100.0% | | 100.00 | | | |
| | | | U43 | 100.0% | 849 | 100.0% | 849 | 100.0% | 849 | 100.0% | 827 |

⁽a) Includes dissatisfaction with developmental requirements, fitness requirements and/or specific program requirements.(b) Includes lack of track and field and college band.



TABLE 10A ENROLLMENT STATUS FALL 1995

| Enrolled in Another Institution | Fall | 1995 | Fal | 1993 |
|---------------------------------|------------|----------------|------------|----------------|
| Enrolled in Another Institution | N | % | N | % |
| Yes No | 287 508 | 36.1% 63.9% | 298 477 | 38.5% 61.5% |
| TOTAL/Response Rate | 795 | 93.6% | 775 | 93.7% |

TABLE 10B INSTITUTIONS ENROLLING PENN COLLEGE NON-MATRICULANTS FALL 1995

| STATE/ INSTITUTIONAL CATEGORY/ | Fa | 11 1995 | Fa | III 1993 |
|---|--------------|---------|---------|--------------|
| Institution | | | | |
| | N | % | N | % |
| IN-STATE (PA) | | | | |
| STATE SYSTEM OF HIGHER EDUCATION (SSHE) | | | | |
| Bloomsburg U | 4 | 1.4% | 18 | 0.40 |
| California U | 3 | 1.0% | 18 | 6.1% |
| Clarion U | 1 | 0.3% | _ | 0.70/ |
| East Stroudsburg U | | 0.5 /8 | 2 | 0.7% |
| Edinboro U | 3 | 1.0% | 4 | 0.3% |
| Indiana U (IUP) | 4 | 1.4% | 5 | 1.4% 1.7% |
| Kutztown Ú | 1 | 0.3% | 5 1 | |
| Lock Haven U | 6 | 2.1% | 12 | 0.3% |
| Mansfield U | 9 | 3.1% | 11 | 4.1% |
| Millersville U | | 1,4% | 1 | 3.7% 0.3% |
| Shippensburg U | • | '.* ^ | 2 | |
| Slippery Rock U | 1 | 0.3% | 2 | 0.7% |
| West Chester U | 2 | 0.7% | 2 | 0.7% |
| STATE-RELATED | _ | 0 , | | |
| Pennsylvania State U | | | | |
| Pittsburgh, U of | 53 | 18.5% | 41 | 13.9% |
| Temple U | 3 | 1.0% | 5 | 1.7% |
| , - | | 1 | 3 | 1.0% |
| STATE-AIDED | | | | |
| Drexel U | 1 | 0.3% | | |
| University of the Arts | 1 | 0.3% | | |
| TOTAL IN-STATE PUBLIC 4-YEAR | 96 | 33.4% | 108 | 36.5% |
| COMMUNITY COLLEGES | | | | |
| Allegheny County, CC of | 2 | 0.7% | 1 | 0.00/ |
| Beaver County, CC of | 1 | 0.7% | | 0.3% |
| Bucks County CC | 3 | 1.0% | 1 | 0.3% |
| Delaware County CC | 1 | 0.3% | 1 6 | 0.3% |
| Harrisburg Area CC | 10 | 3.5% | 18 | 2.0% |
| Lehigh County CC | 4 | 1.4% | 18 5 | 6.1% 1.7% |
| Luzeme County CC | 15 | 5.2% | 14 | 4.7% |
| Montgomery County CC | 4 | 1.4% | 14 | 4.7% |
| Northampton County Area CC | 4 | 1.4% | 9 | 2.00/ |
| Philadelphia, CC of | _ | 0.3% | | 3.0% |
| Reading Area CC | 3 | 1.0% | | |
| T.Stevens State School of Technology | 6 | 2.1% | 8 | 2.7% |
| TOTAL IN-STATE PUBLIC 2-YEAR | 54 | 18.8% | 63 | 21.3% |
| TOTAL IN-STATE PUBLIC | 150 | 52.3% | 171 | 57.8% |
| | .50 | JE.U /8 | 171 | 37.0% |



TABLE 10B INSTITUTIONS ENROLLING PENN COLLEGE NON-MATRICULANTS FALL 1995

| ATE/ | Fall | 1995 | Fall | 1993 |
|--|------|---------|-----------|-------|
| INSTITUTIONAL CATEGORY/ | 1.50 | ا تعتقد | <u>a_</u> | 1333 |
| Institution | N | % | N | 9 |
| PRIVATE COLLEGES & UNIVERSITIES | | | | |
| Alvernia College | _ | | | |
| Baptist Bible College | 1 | 0.3% | 1 | 0.39 |
| Bucknell U | 1 | 0.3% | | |
| College Misericordia | 2_ | 0.7% | | |
| Delaware Valley College | 3 | 1.0% | 1 | 0.39 |
| Elizabethtown College | 2 | 0.7% | 4 | 1.49 |
| Gannon U | | | 1_ | 0.39 |
| Kings College | 1 | 0.3% | 1 | 0.39 |
| LaRoche College | 1 | 0.3% | | |
| Lebanon Valley College | 1 | 0.3% | | |
| Lycoming College | | | 1 | 0.39 |
| Marywood College | 4 | 1.4% | 7 | 2.49 |
| Mercyhurst College | | - 1 | 1 | 0.3% |
| Messiah College | 2_ | 0.7% | | |
| Mount Aloysius College | 1 | 0.3% | | |
| Neumann College | 2 | 0.7% | 3 | 1.09 |
| Philadelphia College of Bible | | | 1 | 0.3% |
| Philadelphia College of Bible | | ł | 1 | 0.3% |
| Philadelphia College of Textiles/Science | 1 | 0.3% | | |
| Point Park College | | | 1 | 0.3% |
| Saint Francis College | 1 | 0.3% | 1 | 0.3% |
| Saint Vincent College | 1 | 0.3% | | |
| Scranton, U of | | | 1 | 0.3% |
| Susquehanna U | 1 | 0.3% | 1 | 0.3% |
| Wilkes U | 1 | 0.3% | | |
| York College of PA | | ſ | 2 | 0.7% |
| TOTAL IN-STATE PRIVATE 4-YEAR | 26 | 9.1% | 28 | 9.5% |
| JUNIOR COLLEGES | | | | 3.576 |
| Keystone JC | | 1 | | |
| Valley Forge Military JC | 2 | 0.7% | 5 | 1.7% |
| Failey Forge Minitary JC | | | 1 | 0.3% |



TABLE 10B INSTITUTIONS ENROLLING PENN COLLEGE NON-MATRICULANTS FALL 1995

| | UTIONAL CATEGORY/ | Eal | 1 1995 | _Fa | II 1 <u>993</u> |
|--------|--|--|---|--|--|
| | Institution | N | % | N | |
| | | | | | |
| SPECIA | AL ASSOCIATE DEGREE GRANTING | | J | | • |
| | Art Institute of Philadelphia | 1 | 0.3% | | |
| | Art Institute of Pittsburgh | | | 1 | 0.3 |
| | Berks Technical Institute | 1 | 0.3% | 1 | 0.3 |
| | Bradley Academy for Visual Arts | 1 | 0.3% | • | • |
| | Cambria-Rowe Business College | 1 | 0.3% | | |
| | Central Penn Business School | - 5 | 1.7% | 4 | 1.4 |
| | Electronic Institute | | | 1 | 0. |
| | ITT Technical Institute | 1 | 0.3% | 1 | 0.: |
| | Johnson Technical Institute | | 0.0.0 | 5 | 1.1 |
| | Lincoln Technical Institute | 3 | 1.0% | · | ••• |
| | NEC-Allentown Business School | $-\frac{5}{1}$ | 0.3% | | |
| | NEC-Thompson Institute | 1 | 0.3% | 2 | 0. |
| | NEC-Vale Technical Institute | 1 | 0.3% | 2 | 0.1 |
| (a) | Newport Business Institute | 11 | 3.8% | 4 | 1.4 |
| | Pennsylvania Institute of Culinary Arts | 1 | 0.3% | 1 | 0.: |
| | Philadelphia School of Print/Advertising | <u> </u> | - 0.0 /8 | - i | 0.5 |
| | Pittsburgh Technical Institute | 2 | 0.7% | • | 0. |
| | South Hills Business School | ĩ | 0.3% | 1 | 0.3 |
| | Triangle Tech | 3 | 1.0% | 5 | 1.3 |
| | Welder Training & Testing Institute | 1 | 0.3% | 2 | 0.7 |
| | | | | | |
| | Williamson Free School of Mechanical Trades | - 3 | 1 00/ | | |
| MISCEL | Williamson Free School of Mechanical Trades York Technical Institute LANEOUS INSTITUTIONS | 3 | 1.0% 0.3% | | |
| MISCEL | York Technical Institute LANEOUS INSTITUTIONS Academy of Medical Arts & Business Altoona AVTS | • | | 1 | |
| MISCEL | York Technical Institute LANEOUS INSTITUTIONS Academy of Medical Arts & Business Altoona AVTS Bradford County AVTS | 1 | 0.3% | 1 | 0.3 |
| MISCEL | York Technical Institute LANEOUS INSTITUTIONS Academy of Medical Arts & Business Altoona AVTS Bradford County AVTS Carlisle Hospital | 1 | 0.3% | | 0.3 |
| MISCEL | York Technical Institute LANEOUS INSTITUTIONS Academy of Medical Arts & Business Altoona AVTS Bradford County AVTS Carlisle Hospital Empire Beauty School | 1 | 0.3% | 1 1 | 0.3 0.3 |
| MISCEL | York Technical Institute LANEOUS INSTITUTIONS Academy of Medical Arts & Business Altoona AVTS Bradford County AVTS Carlisle Hospital Empire Beauty School Franklin Academy | 1 | 0.3% | 1 1 | 0.3 0.3 |
| MISCEL | York Technical Institute LANEOUS INSTITUTIONS Academy of Medical Arts & Business Altoona AVTS Bradford County AVTS Carlisle Hospital Empire Beauty School Franklin Academy Geisinger Medical Center | 1 1 | 0.3% 0.3% 0.3% | 1 1 | 0.3 0.3 |
| MISCEL | York Technical Institute LANEOUS INSTITUTIONS Academy of Medical Arts & Business Altoona AVTS Bradford County AVTS Carlisle Hospital Empire Beauty School Franklin Academy Geisinger Medical Center N L C | 1 | 0.3% | 1 1 1 | 0.3 0.3 0.3 |
| MISCEL | York Technical Institute LANEOUS INSTITUTIONS Academy of Medical Arts & Business Altoona AVTS Bradford County AVTS Carlisle Hospital Empire Beauty School Franklin Academy Geisinger Medical Center N L C Northem Tier Career Center | 1 1 | 0.3% | 1 1 | 0.3 0.3 0.3 |
| MISCEL | York Technical Institute LANEOUS INSTITUTIONS Academy of Medical Arts & Business Altoona AVTS Bradford County AVTS Carlisle Hospital Empire Beauty School Franklin Academy Geisinger Medical Center N L C Northem Tier Career Center Northumberland County Area Business School | 1 1 1 1 | 0.3% 0.3% 0.3% 0.3% | 1 1 1 1 | 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.3 |
| MISCEL | York Technical Institute LANEOUS INSTITUTIONS Academy of Medical Arts & Business Altoona AVTS Bradford County AVTS Carlisle Hospital Empire Beauty School Franklin Academy Geisinger Medical Center N L C Northem Tier Career Center Northumberland County Area Business School Reading Hospital | 1 1 | 0.3% | 1 1 1 1 2 | 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.3 |
| MISCEL | York Technical Institute LANEOUS INSTITUTIONS Academy of Medical Arts & Business Altoona AVTS Bradford County AVTS Carlisle Hospital Empire Beauty School Franklin Academy Geisinger Medical Center N L C Northem Tier Career Center Northumberland County Area Business School Reading Hospital Rosedale Technical Institute | 1 1 1 1 | 0.3% 0.3% 0.3% 0.3% | 1 1 1 1 2 1 | 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.3 |
| MISCEL | York Technical Institute LANEOUS INSTITUTIONS Academy of Medical Arts & Business Altoona AVTS Bradford County AVTS Carlisle Hospital Empire Beauty School Franklin Academy Geisinger Medical Center N L C Northem Tier Career Center Northumberland County Area Business School Reading Hospital Rosedale Technical Institute Saint Joseph's Hospital | 1 1 1 1 | 0.3% 0.3% 0.3% 0.3% 0.3% | 1 1 1 1 2 | 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.3 |
| MISCEL | York Technical Institute LANEOUS INSTITUTIONS Academy of Medical Arts & Business Altoona AVTS Bradford County AVTS Carlisle Hospital Empire Beauty School Franklin Academy Geisinger Medical Center N L C Northem Tier Career Center Northumberland County Area Business School Reading Hospital Rosedale Technical Institute Saint Joseph's Hospital Sun Technical School | 1 1 1 1 | 0.3% 0.3% 0.3% 0.3% | 1 1 1 1 2 1 1 1 | 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.7 0.3 0.3 |
| MISCEL | York Technical Institute LANEOUS INSTITUTIONS Academy of Medical Arts & Business Altoona AVTS Bradford County AVTS Carlisle Hospital Empire Beauty School Franklin Academy Geisinger Medical Center N L C Northem Tier Career Center Northumberland County Area Business School Reading Hospital Rosedale Technical Institute Saint Joseph's Hospital Sun Technical School Willow Street Vo-Tech | 1 1 1 1 | 0.3% 0.3% 0.3% 0.3% 0.3% | 1 1 1 1 1 2 1 1 | 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.7 0.3 0.3 |
| MISCEL | York Technical Institute LANEOUS INSTITUTIONS Academy of Medical Arts & Business Altoona AVTS Bradford County AVTS Carlisle Hospital Empire Beauty School Franklin Academy Geisinger Medical Center N L C Northem Tier Career Center Northumberland County Area Business School Reading Hospital Rosedale Technical Institute Saint Joseph's Hospital Sun Technical School | 1 1 1 1 | 0.3% 0.3% 0.3% 0.3% 0.3% | 1 1 1 1 2 1 1 1 | 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.3 |
| MISCEL | York Technical Institute LANEOUS INSTITUTIONS Academy of Medical Arts & Business Altoona AVTS Bradford County AVTS Carlisle Hospital Empire Beauty School Franklin Academy Geisinger Medical Center N L C Northem Tier Career Center Northumberland County Area Business School Reading Hospital Rosedale Technical Institute Saint Joseph's Hospital Sun Technical School Willow Street Vo-Tech | 1 1 1 1 | 0.3% 0.3% 0.3% 0.3% 0.3% | 1 1 1 1 1 2 1 1 | 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.3 |
| MISCEL | York Technical Institute LANEOUS INSTITUTIONS Academy of Medical Arts & Business Altoona AVTS Bradford County AVTS Carlisle Hospital Empire Beauty School Franklin Academy Geisinger Medical Center N L C Northem Tier Career Center Northumberland County Area Business School Reading Hospital Rosedale Technical Institute Saint Joseph's Hospital Sun Technical School Willow Street Vo-Tech | 1 1 1 1 2 | 0.3% 0.3% 0.3% 0.3% 0.3% 0.3% | 1 1 1 1 1 2 1 1 1 | 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.3 |
| MISCEL | York Technical Institute LANEOUS INSTITUTIONS Academy of Medical Arts & Business Altoona AVTS Bradford County AVTS Carlisle Hospital Empire Beauty School Franklin Academy Geisinger Medical Center N L C Northem Tier Career Center Northumberland County Area Business School Reading Hospital Rosedale Technical Institute Saint Joseph's Hospital Sun Technical School Willow Street Vo-Tech Wilma Boyd School | 1 1 1 1 1 2 48 | 0.3% 0.3% 0.3% 0.3% 0.3% 0.7% 16.7% | 1 1 1 1 1 2 1 1 1 1 49 | 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.7 0.3 0.3 0.3 16.6 |
| MISCEL | York Technical Institute LANEOUS INSTITUTIONS Academy of Medical Arts & Business Altoona AVTS Bradford County AVTS Carlisle Hospital Empire Beauty School Franklin Academy Geisinger Medical Center N L C Northem Tier Career Center Northumberland County Area Business School Reading Hospital Rosedale Technical Institute Saint Joseph's Hospital Sun Technical School Willow Street Vo-Tech Wilma Boyd School TOTAL IN-STATE PRIVATE 2-YEAR | 1 1 1 1 1 2 | 0.3% 0.3% 0.3% 0.3% 0.3% 0.7% 16.7% 25.8% 42.5% | 1 1 1 1 1 2 1 1 1 1 49 77 | 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.3 |
| | York Technical Institute LANEOUS INSTITUTIONS Academy of Medical Arts & Business Altoona AVTS Bradford County AVTS Carlisle Hospital Empire Beauty School Franklin Academy Geisinger Medical Center N L C Northem Tier Career Center Northumberland County Area Business School Reading Hospital Rosedale Technical Institute Saint Joseph's Hospital Sun Technical School Willow Street Vo-Tech Wilma Boyd School TOTAL IN-STATE PRIVATE TOTAL IN-STATE PRIVATE | 1 1 1 1 1 2 48 74 | 0.3% 0.3% 0.3% 0.3% 0.3% 0.7% 16.7% | 1 1 1 1 1 2 1 1 1 1 49 | 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.3 |

BEST COPY AVAILABLE



TABLE 10B INSTITUTIONS ENROLLING PENN COLLEGE NON-MATRICULANTS FALL 1995

| TATE/ INSTITU | JTIONAL CATEGORY/ | Fall | 1995 | _Fall | 1993 |
|------------------|--|-------------|--------------|-------|------|
| | Institution | N | % | N | % |
| UT-OF-ST | AIE | | | | |
| CA | San Francisco City College | . 1 | 0.3% | | |
| CT | Asnuntuck Community-Technical College | 1 | 0.3% | | |
| CT | Gateway Community-Technical College | i | 0.3% | | |
| CT | Hartford, U of | • | 0.078 | 2 | 0.7% |
| CT | Mitchell College | 2 | 0.7% | ~ | 0.7% |
| CT | New England Technical Institute | 1 | 0.3% | | |
| CT | Post College | • | 0.5 /8 | 1 | 0.3% |
| DE | Delaware, U of | 1 | 0.3% | | 0.3% |
| DC | USDA | | 0.3% | | |
| FL | Indian River CC | | 0.3% | | |
| FL | Lynn U | • | 0.5% | | |
| ID | Idaho, U of | | 0.3% | 1 | 0.3% |
| ĪL | Southern Illinois U | | 0.3% | | |
| IL | Universal Technical Institute | 1 | 0.3% | 1 | 0.3% |
| ME | Southern Maine Technical College | <u>'</u> _ | 0.3% | 1 | 0.3% |
| MD | Allegany CC | | | | 0.3% |
| MD | Anne Arundel CC | | | 1 | 0.3% |
| MD | Baltimore International Culinary College | | 1 | 1 | 0.3% |
| MD | Catonsville CC | 1 | 0.3% | 1 | 0.3% |
| MD | Frederick CC | • | 0.3% | | |
| MD | Morgan State U | 1 | 0.3% | 1 | 0.3% |
| MD | Towson State U | - | | | |
| MA | Becker College | 1 | 0.3% | | |
| MA | Mount ida College | , | 0.3% | _ | |
| MI | GMI Engineering & Management Institute | | | 1_ | 0.3% |
| MI | Michigan Technological U | <u> </u> | 0.3% | | |
| MI | Northwood U | 1 | 0.3% | | |
| MN | North Hennipen CC | | | 1 | 0.3% |
| NE | Nebraska, U of | | | | 0.3% |
| NH | New Hampshire, U of | | | 1 | 0.3% |
| NJ | Camden County College | 1 | 0.3% | | |
| NJ | DeVry Institute of Technology | 1 | 0.3% | | |
| NJ | Gloucester County College | | 0.00 | 1 | 0.3% |
| NJ | Middlesex County College | 1 | 0.3% | _ | |
| NJ | Morris, County College of | | 2 22 | 2 | 0.7% |
| NJ | Rutgers U | 1 | 0.3% | | |
| NJ | Teterboro School of Aeronautics | 1 | 0.3% 0.3% | | |





TABLE 10B INSTITUTIONS ENROLLING PENN COLLEGE NON-MATRICULANTS FALL 1995

| Alfred U Amot-Ogden Hospital Broome CC Coming CC Culinary Institute of America Dowling College Dutchess CC Elmira Business Institute Hofstra U Jamestown, CC of Keuka College Monroe CC Nassau CC | N 4 1 1 3 1 1 2 2 1 1 1 1 | 1.4% 0.3% 0.3% 1.0% 0.3% 0.3% 0.7% | N 3 3 3 3 | 1.0° 1.0° 1.0° 1.0° |
|---|--|--|---|--|
| Amot-Ogden Hospital Broome CC Coming CC Culinary Institute of America Dowling College Dutchess CC Elmira Business Institute Hofstra U Jamestown, CC of Keuka College Marist College Monroe CC Nassau CC | 1 1 3 1 1 2 | 1.4% 0.3% 0.3% 1.0% 0.3% 0.3% 0.7% | 3 3 3 3 | 1.0° 1.0° 1.0° |
| Amot-Ogden Hospital Broome CC Coming CC Culinary Institute of America Dowling College Dutchess CC Elmira Business Institute Hofstra U Jamestown, CC of Keuka College Marist College Monroe CC Nassau CC | 1 1 3 1 1 2 | 0.3% 0.3% 1.0% 0.3% 0.3% 0.3% 0.7% | 3 3 3 | 1.0° 1.0° 1.0° |
| Broome CC Coming CC Culinary Institute of America Dowling College Dutchess CC Elmira Business Institute Hofstra U Jamestown, CC of Keuka College Manist College Monroe CC Nassau CC | 1 3 1 1 2 | 0.3% 1.0% 0.3% 0.3% 0.3% 0.7% | 3 3 1 | 1.0° 1.0° |
| Coming CC Culinary Institute of America Dowling College Dutchess CC Elmira Business Institute Hofstra U Jamestown, CC of Keuka College Marist College Monroe CC Nassau CC | 3 1 1 1 2 | 1.0% 0.3% 0.3% 0.3% 0.7% | 1 | 1.0 |
| Culinary Institute of America Dowling College Dutchess CC Elmira Business Institute Hofstra U Jamestown, CC of Keuka College Marist College Monroe CC Nassau CC | 1 1 2 | 0.3% 0.3% 0.3% 0.7% | 1 | |
| Dowling College Dutchess CC Elmira Business Institute Hofstra U Jamestown, CC of Keuka College Marist College Monroe CC Nassau CC | 1 1 2 1 | 0.3% 0.3% 0.7% 0.3% | | 0.2 |
| Dutchess CC Elmira Business Institute Hofstra U Jamestown, CC of Keuka College Marist College Monroe CC Nassau CC | 1 2 | 0.3% 0.7% 0.3% | | 0.3 |
| Elmira Business Institute Hofstra U Jamestown, CC of Keuka College Marist College Monroe CC Nassau CC | 2 <u>1</u> 1 | 0.7% 0.3% | | 0.3 |
| Hofstra U Jamestown, CC of Keuka College Marist College Monroe CC Nassau CC | 1 | 0.3% | | 0.3 |
| Jamestown, CC of Keuka College Marist College Monroe CC Nassau CC | 1 | | | U.3 |
| Keuka College Marist College Monroe CC Nassau CC | 1 | | 1 | 0.3 |
| Marist College Monroe CC Nassau CC | • | | 1 | 0.3 |
| Monroe CC Nassau CC | 4 | 0.3% | | |
| Nassau CC | 1 | 0.3% | | |
| | 1 | 0.3% | | |
| 0-10-2-5 | 1 | 0.3% | | |
| Paul Smith College of Arts & Science | 2 | | | |
| Pratt Institute | | | | ō.3 |
| Robert Wesleyan College | | | | 0.3 |
| State U of New York (SUNY) system | 4 | 1 4% | • | 0.5 |
| Suffolk CC | | | | |
| Campbell U | | | | |
| Methodist College | • | 0.070 | | 0.3 |
| Cedarville College | | | | 0.3 |
| Columbus State CC | | | • | 0.3 |
| Ohio Diesel Tech | 1 | A 20/ | ' | 0.3 |
| Ohio State U | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | 1 | 0.3 |
| | 1 | 0.3% | | |
| Tennessee II of | | | 1_ | 100.0 |
| | | | | 100.0 |
| | • | | 1 | 0.3 |
| | - | | | |
| | | | | |
| Alderson-Broaddus College | | | | |
| Fairmont State College | | | 1 | 0.3 |
| | | | | |
| | <u>1</u> | 0.3% | | 0.39 |
| | | l | 1 | 0.39 |
| T-OF-STATE | 63 | 22.0% | 44 | 14.9 |
| Not given | | | 4 | 1.49 |
| | 287 | | | |
| | Paul Smith College of Arts & Science Pratt Institute Robert Wesleyan College State U of New York (SUNY) system Suffolk CC Campbell U Methodist College Cedarville College Columbus State CC Ohio Diesel Tech Ohio State U Johnson & Wales U Clemson U South Carolina, U of Tennessee, U of Blue Ridge CC George Mason U Radford U Virginia Tech Alderson-Broaddus College Fairmont State College West Virginia U Milwaukee School of Engineering | Paul Smith College of Arts & Science 2 Pratt Institute 2 Robert Wesleyan College 4 State U of New York (SUNY) system 4 Suffolk CC 1 Campbell U 1 Methodist College 1 Cedarville College 2 Columbus State CC 1 Ohio Diesel Tech 1 Ohio State U 1 Johnson & Wales U 1 Clemson U 1 South Carolina, U of 1 Tennessee, U of 1 Blue Ridge CC 1 George Mason U 1 Radford U 1 Virginia Tech 2 Alderson-Broaddus College 1 Fairmont State College 1 West Virginia U 1 Milwaukee School of Engineering T-OF-STATE 63 | Paul Smith College of Arts & Science 2 0.7% | Paul Smith College of Arts & Science 2 0.7% Pratt Institute 1 0.7% Robert Wesleyan College 1 1 State U of New York (SUNY) system 4 1.4% Suffolk CC 1 0.3% Campbell U 1 0.3% Methodist College 1 1 Columbus State CC 1 1 Ohio Diesel Tech 1 0.3% Ohio State U 1 0.3% Johnson & Wales U 1 0.3% Clemson U 1 0.3% South Carolina, U of 1 0.3% Tennessee, U of 1 0.3% Blue Ridge CC 1 0.3% George Mason U 1 0.3% Radford U 1 0.3% Virginia Tech 2 0.7% Alderson-Broaddus College 1 0.3% Fairmont State College 1 0.3% West Virginia U 1 0.3% 1 Milwaukee Scho |

(a) Formerly Williamsport School of Commerce



٠.;

۲:.

PENNSYLVANIA COLLEGE OF TECHNOLOGY NON-MATRICULANT SURVEY

TABLE 11
COLLEGES NON-MATRICULANTS APPLIED TO
& ORDER OF PREFERENCE
FALL 1995

| STATE | Pollock # | Fig. | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|------------|------------|--------|------------|------------|-----------------------|-----------|------------|-----------|----------|--------------|-------------|----------------|-----|
| INSTITUTIONAL CATEGORY/ | | | ۴ | tel Choice | 6 | Order of Preserence | reterence | | | | | Accepted | g | |
| institution | Z | % | a z | 87/2II | z | angle % | 일 2 | aloua pir | Am Choice | | SE Z | XI % | 2 | Co. |
| IN-STATE (PA) | | | | | | | | | | 1 | | | | |
| Pennsylvania College of Technology | 623 | - | 404 | 65% | 131 | 21% | 99 | 10% | 24 | % | 623 | 100% | | |
| STATE SYSTEM OF HIGHER EDUCATION (SSHE) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Gattomia 1 | 33 | 5.2% | | 48% | 6 | 27% | 7 | 21% | - | 3% | 25 | %9 2 | 7 | - |
| Cheyney U | ~ - | 0.2% | N - | 100% | - | 14% | က | 43% | <u>-</u> | 14% | ۲. | 100% | | |
| Clarion U | 7 | 1.1% | | | e | 43% | - | 14% | | 420 | - u | 866 | • | |
| East Stroudsburg U | 6 | 1.4% | | 22% | 9 | 67% | - | 2 | - | 11% | ۸ ۵ | 78% | N 01 | |
| Indiana U (IUP) | o <u>4</u> | % % 5 % | | 20% | 2 1 | 33% | | | , ــا | %/1 | <u>ب</u> | 83% | , | - |
| Kutztown U | 7 | 1.1% | | 14% | - 0 | % 5 5 6 7 | 4 | 47% | 2 | <u>«</u> | 2 1 | 80% | .7 | |
| Lock Haven U Mancifeld I | 24 | 3.8% | | 20% | 6 0 | 33% | · ю | 13% | - | % | - 61 | 79% | 6 | 8 |
| Millersville | 2 | 3.1% | | 30% | . | 45% | 3 | 15% | 7 | 10% | 14 | %02 | 9 | I |
| Shippensburg U | - 04 | 0.3% | o - | 20% | n | 45% | | % % 6 % | | _ | 8 | 73% | n | . |
| Slippery Rock U | e (| 0.5% | | 33% | | | . 0 | %29 | | | - ო | 100% | | - |
| West Chester O | 8 | 0.3% | 8 | 100% | | | | | | _ | 8 | 100% | | |
| STATE-RELATED | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Lincoin U Pappsytvania Stata II | 8 | 0.3% | (| į | 8 | 100% | | | | _ | 8 | 100% | | |
| Pittsburgh, U of | 14 6 | 2.2% | ဂ္ဂ က | 21% | e 9 | 26% 43% | | 15% 21% | - 0 | % % | 92 5 | 91% | 9 (| 8 |
| Tempte U | 6 | 0.5% | - | 33% | - | 33% |) | ? | ı – | 33% | 20 | %29 67% | v - | |
| STATE-AIDED | | | | | | | | | | _ | | | | |
| Drexel U Hahnemann U/Medical College of PA | 4- | 0.6% | - | * | 8 | 20% | - | 25% | - | 25% | 4 | 100% | | |
| University of the Arts | | 0.5% | - | 8 | - | 100% | | | | | - | 100% | | - |
| TOTAL IN-STATE PUBLIC 4-YEAR | 258 | #N/A | Ξ | 43% | 87 | 34% | 42 | 16% | a. | - | 216 | 040 | 7.0 | ŀ |
| COMMUNITY COLL FRES | | | | ! | ; | 2 | ! | 2 | 2 | | 2 | e 6 | ż | 0 |
| Allegheny County, CC of | 6 | 0.5% | - | 33% | 8 | 67% | | | | | | 4006 | | |
| Beaver County, CC of | 8 | 0.3% | | } | ı – | 20% | - | 20% | | | ° 0 | 100% | | |
| Delaware County CC | 40 | 0.0 | | 25% | - | 25% | | 25% | | 25% | 4. | 100% | | |
| Harrisburg Area CC | 13 | 2.0% | 4 | 31% | 1 | 54% | -2 | 15% | - | 800 | 2 2 | %00L | | |
| Lenigh County CC | 4 6 | %80 | ο. | 20% | - ; | 25% | - | 52% | | | 4 | 100% | | |
| Montagnery County CC | <u>.</u> | 80.0 | _ | 2% | | %89 9 | ~ • | - 2 | ю. | 16% | 1 | 100% | | |
| Northampton County Area CC | ^ | 1.1% | - | 14% | ა თ | 43% | - ო | 43% | - | %0X | ۰ ۵ | 100% | | |
| | 2- | 0.3% | | | - | 100% | 2 | 100% | | | - | 50% | ! | _ |
| T. Stevens State School of Technology | 10 | 1.6% | ur. | 20% | ď | 30% | | | c | 206 | • | | • | |
| | | | | | , | | | | J | • • | D | 808 | - | |
| TOTAL IN-STATE PUBLIC 2-YEAR | | W/A | 16 | 22% | 35 | 48% | 14 | 19% | 80 | 11% | k | 92% | - | - |
| TOTAL IN-STATE PUBLIC | 954 | #N/A | 531 | 26% | 253 | 27% | 120 | 13% | 20 | 2% | 287 | 30% | 35 | 6 |
| | | = | | | | | | | | _ | | | | |



.:-

TABLE 11
COLLEGES NON-MATRICULANTS APPLIED TO
& ORDER OF PREFERENCE
FALL 1995

; ; ;

| SIAIE | # Applied | | | Order of Preference | reference | | | Accepted | |
|--|-----------|----------------|--------|---------------------|--------------|----------|------|------------|----------|
| INSTITUTIONAL CATEGORY/ | 2 | 1st Choice | | Zg | 7 | SP CP | Yes | | _ |
| | | 2 | | °, | % N | % N | z | ے % | No known |
| PRIVATE COLLEGES & UNIVERSITIES | | | | | | | | | |
| Albright College | 1 0.2% | | 8 | | | | | | |
| Alvemia College | 2.00 | | %65 | | • | • | - ' | 100% | |
| Baptist Bible College | 1 000 | - | 2 | 100% | 8,55 | , 53% | | %00L | |
| Beaver College | 1 0 2% | | | | • | | _ , | %00L | |
| Bucknell U | 2 0.3% | ٥ | 100% | | 8001 | | - 0 | ,00L | |
| Cedar Crest College | 762 0 | | 2 | | | | 7 | 2001 | |
| College Misericordia | 10 16% | " | * | 30% | ì | ,001 I | - (| 100% | |
| Delaware Valley College | | 5 * | 969 | 50° | % <u>?</u> ; | | 20 | 80% | 8 |
| Duquesne U | % C C | | e 2 | %?* %?* | . %4% | , | 9 | %98 *** | - |
| Elizabethtown College | , S.O. | | | 32% | | 20% | | 20% | - |
| Gannon U | 3 0 20 | 4 | 1964 | 888 | | %/9 7 | _ | 33% | 7 |
| Holy Family College | %.c. | - - | 9 | %550 | %55. | | | 100% | |
| Juniata College | 1 0.5% | | ,ec | | %001 1 | | _ | 100% | |
| Kings College | | | 2 6 | | | | | | - |
| La Roche College | 2.0.0% | | %0° | 20% | | | 8 | 100% | |
| Page College College | 0.2% |) I | % | | | | - | 100% | |
| Labion 11 validy | 0.2% | | | | 1 100% | | - | 100% | |
| | 0.2% | | | | 100% | | - | 100% | |
| | 8 1.3% | 4 | 20% | | 3 38% | 1 13% | 8 | 100% | |
| Marywood College | 1 0.2% | | | | 1 100% | | - | 100% | |
| Mercynurst College | 1 0.2% | | | | | 1 100% | _ | 100% | |
| Messian College | 4 0.6% | 3 | %6 | | 1 25% | | - | 75% | 1 |
| Mount Aloysius College | 5 0.8% | - | % | 3 60% | 1 20% | | . 4 | 208 | - |
| Philadelphia College of Textiles/Science | 4 0.6% | 1 25 | % | | 1 25% | 2 50% | • • | 75% | - |
| Hobert Morris College | 2 0.3% | 2 100 | %(| | | | ۰ ۵ | 100% | - |
| Saint Francis College | 4 0.6% | 2 50 | 20% | 1 25% | 1 25% | | 1 67 | 75% | - |
| Saint Josephs U | 1 0.2% | | | . %00L | | | | 100% | . : |
| Saint Vincent College | 1 0.2% | - 100 | %001 | | | | - | 100% | |
| Scranton, U of | 2 0.3% | | | 1 50% | | 1 50% | | 100% | |
| Susquehanna U | 4 0.6% | 2 50 | 20% | 2 20% | | | 4 | 2001 | |
| Thiel College | 1 0.2% | | | | 1 100% | | - | %001 | |
| Ursinus College | 1 0.2% | | | | | Telling. | | | |
| Valley Forge Christian College | 1 0.2% | 1 100% | % | | | | • | 4004 | - |
| Widener U | 1 0.2% | | ! | | | 1 100% | | 800 | |
| Wilkes U | 3 0.5% | 1 33 | % | | 33% | 33% | - (* | 8001 | |
| York College of PA | 2 0.3% | 1 50 | 20% | 1 50% | | | • | 2 | 2 |
| TAXAII II XAXAD BEILIADE TABLE | | ı | | | | | | | 1 |
| ICIAL IN-SIAIE PHIVAIE 4-YEAR | 87 #N/A | 36 41 | 41% 2 | 20 23% | 18 21% | 13 15% | 73 | 84% | 12 2 |
| | | | | | | | | | |



BEST COPY AVAILABLE

~:.

PENNSYLVANIA COLLEGE OF TECHNOLOGY NON-MATRICULANT SURVEY

TABLE 11 COLLEGES NON-MATRICULANTS APPLIED TO & ORDER OF PREFERENCE FALL 1995

| State Stat | NSTITITIONAL CATEGORY | # Applied | | ō | Order of Preference | erence | | | L | Acc | Accepted | ĺ |
|--|--|-----------|----------------|---------|---------------------|----------|------------|----------------|------|----------------------|----------|-----------|
| NTING 1 0.0% 1 | Institution | | STC | S Snd S | hoice % | 3rd Cl | eziot % | 4th Choic N | | | 2 | k Sown |
| Marchanical Trades Colors | JC JC nna JC | | %%% | -8- | 20% 50% 50% | 4.01 | 80% 50% | - X | | | ł | |
| 100% | SPECIAL ASSOCIATE-DEGREE GRANTING Art institute of Philadelphia Art institute of Phitsburgh | 2.0 | | | 50% | | | | | _ | | |
| Column C | Berks Technical institute Bradiey Academy for Visual Arts Cambria-Rowe Business College | 2000 | - - | | 30% 100% | | | | | 100% 100% | | |
| Second 1 | Central Penn Business School Johnson Technical Institute | | 4 | 9 | 43% | 20 | 14% | 2 1 | , | 100% | - | i |
| 1 0.2% 1 100% 1 1 100% 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | Lincoln Technical institute McCann School of Business NFC-Altanthum Business capaci | | N . | 2 F | 33% | NN | 33% | | | 100% 100% 100% | | |
| te title to the ti | MET. Thompson healthin | 0.23 | | - | 100% | | | | _ | 100% | | |
| 1 100% 1 1 100% 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | | | 8 8 | 01 | 77% 100% | | | | | | - | i |
| ## Carrier Frades 1 0.2% 1 100% 1 20% 1 20% 1 20% 1 100% 2 100% 2 100% 2 100% 2 100% 2 100% 3 60% 1 1 100% 3 1 1 100% 3 1 1 100% 3 1 1 100% 3 1 1 100% 3 1 1 100% 3 1 1 100% 3 1 1 100% 3 1 1 100% 3 1 1 100% 3 1 1 100% 3 1 1 100% 3 1 1 100% 3 1 1 100% 3 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | Transfer Technol | | | D W | 100% 100% | | <u>:</u> | | 10.4 | | - | |
| ea Business School 1 0.2% 1 100% 1 100% ea Business School 1 0.2% 1 100% 1 100% ea Business School 1 0.2% 1 100% 1 1 100% ea Business School 1 0.2% 1 100% 1 1 100% e 1 0.2% 1 100% 1 100% e 1 0.2% 1 33% 2 67% 3 1 100% EZ-YEAR 86 #N/A 61 35% 64 37% 31 18% 17 10 e 173 #N/A 41 26% 79 50% 27 17% 12 8% 152 96% e 159 #N/A 18R 37% 187 17% 12 8% 11 06% | Williamson Farming Traving Institute Williamson Fee School of Mechanical Trades York Technical Institute | | - n | - 8 | 20% 100% | - | 20% | | | | | |
| BUSINESS SCHOOL 1 0.2% 1 100% 1 100% BE STYEAR BE WINA 100% 1 100% 1 100% 1 100% 1 100% 1 100% 1 100% 1 100% 1 100% 1 100% 1 100% 1 100% 3 100% | MISCELLANEOUS INSTITUTIONS Altoona AVTS Danvilla School of Nursing Galstinner Medical Canter | 1 00.2% | • | | 100% 100% | | | | | 100% | - | |
| E2-YEAR 86 #N/A 147 43% 107% 1100% 11 100% 1 | N L C Northumberland County Area Business School | 0.2% | | | | | | | | 100% | - | |
| E 2-YEAR 86 #N/A 25 29% 44 51% 13 15% 4 5% 81 94% 8 | neading mospital Rosedale Technical Institute Sun Technical School | | | -8 | 100% 67% | | | | | 100% 100% 100% | | |
| 345 #N/A 147 43% 107 31% 60 17% 31 9% 289 84% 159 #N/A 141 26% 79 50% 27 17% 12 8% 152 96% 150 504 #N/A 188 37% 186 37% 87 17% 12 8% 141 000% | TOTAL IN-STATE PRIVATE 2-YEAR | 1 | i | 44 | 51% | 13 | 15% | | | 94% | 2 | 1 |
| 345 #N/A 147 43% 107 31% 60 17% 31 9% 289 84% 159 #N/A 41 26% 79 50% 27 17% 12 8% 152 96% 504 #N/A 188 37% 186 37% 877 17% 43 69 | TOTAL IN-STATE PRIVATE | | | 64 | 37% | 31 | 18% | 17 10 | i | | 4 | |
| 504 #N/A 188 37% 186 37% a7 17% 12 000 | TOTAL IN-STATE 4-YEAR TOTAL IN-STATE 2-YEAR | 1 1 | | 107 | 31% 50% | 60 27 | 17% | | | ' | 46 6 | 5 - |
| | TOTAL IN-STATE (excl. Penn College) | 504 #N/A | 188 37% | 186 | 37% | 87 | 17% | 43 0 | 700 | 98% | 63 | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |



BEST COPY AVAILABLE

PENNSYLVANIA COLLEGE OF TECHNOLOGY NON-MATRICULANT SURVEY

;~

.:~

TABLE 11 COLLEGES NON-MATRICULANTS APPLIED TO & ORDER OF PREFERENCE FALL 1995

| STATE/ | | | | | | | |
|--------------|--|-----------|--------|------------|--------------|------------|---|
| INSTITU | INSTITUTIONAL CATEGORY/ | # Applied | | Order o | Prefere | | Accepted |
| | Institution | % | N % | Znd Choice | 3rd Choice | 4th Choice | Yes " |
| OUT-OF-STATE | AIE | | | | : | 2 | N % NO KNOWN |
| Ą | Alaska , U of | 1 200 | | , | | | |
| ¥ | Arizona Tech | 7.2.0 | | %001 | | | 1 100% |
| Ą | DeVry Institute of Technology | 1 0.2% | _ | | 100% | | |
| გ | California, U of | 1 0.2% | 7,001 | | 800 | | 1 |
| ₹ | San Francisco City College | 1 0.2% | | 100% | | | 200 |
| 8 | Colorado Aero Tech | 1 0.2% | | 100% | | | 0,000 |
| 5 8 | Asnuntuck Community-Technical College | 1 0.2% | 100% | | | | 1 |
| 58 | Bridgeport U of | 3 0.5% | | 1 33% | 1 33% | 33% | 3 100% |
| 5 8 | Gateway Community-Technical College | 1 0.2% | 1 100% | | | 3 | 800. |
| 5 8 | Harford, U of | 2 0.3% | 2 100% | | | | 200. |
| 5 8 | Mitchell College | 1 0.2% | | 100% | | | *************************************** |
| 5 | New England Technical Institute | 1 0.2% | | 100% | | | *************************************** |
| 7 6 | Delaware, U of | 1 0.2% | | 100% | | | 7,000 |
| 2 | George Washington U | 1 0.2% | 1 100% | | | | 100% |
| 3 | USUA FIRST | 1 0.2% | | 1 100% | | | 100% |
| d 5 | | 3 0.5% | | 2 67% | 1 33% | | 6 |
| | Mismi 11 of | 1 0.2% | | | | 1 100% | |
| | Dolm Booth CC | 1 0.2% | 1 100% | | | | |
| | Valencia CC | 0.2% | | 100% | | | 1 100% |
| ₽ | Collimbia College | 0.2% | | 100% | | | _ |
| i € | Dekelt College | 0.2% | | 100% | | | 1 100% |
| 5 2 | Coxal Wileye | 1 0.2% | 100% | | į | | - |
| 2 = | Tokioral Tocholog Lacifida | 0.5% | | 100% | | | 1 100% |
| 2 2 | University Califical Insurate | 1 0.2% | | 100% | | | 1 100% |
| £ 2 | | 1 0.2% | | | 100% | | 1 100% |
| 2 2 | Indiana institute of Lechnology | 1 0.2% | | | 1 100% | | 1 100% |
| . 2 | Hoselon College | 0.2% | | 1 100% | | | 1 100% |
| 00 | Section College | 1 0.2% | 100% | | | | 1 100% |
| 2 | Catoosville CC | 0.5% | | | | 100% | 100% |
| æ | Coppln State | 0.5% | | | 100% | | 1 100% |
| Ş | Hagerstown JC | %20 | | | %00.+ - + | | %00L L |
| Ð | Maryland, U of | 2 0.3% | | 1 50% | % - | ,600 | 300 |
| 9 | Morgan State U | 1 0.2% | 1 100% | | | % - | 2 100% |
| Q | Towson State U | 2 0.3% | | 2 100% | | _ | , oct |
| ≨: | Bay State College | 1 0.2% | | | 100% | | 7,00% |
| ₹: | Becker College | 1 0.2% | 100% | | | | % OC |
| ≨: | Franklin Institute of Boston | 1 0.2% | | | 1 100% | | 1 100% |
| ¥ : | Harvard U | 1 0.2% | | | 100% | | 1 100% |
| ¥: | Lasell College | 1 0.2% | | | 1 100% | | , 00t 100% |
| ¥ : | Massachusetts Inst of Technology (MIT) | 1 0.2% | 1 100% | | | - | 100% |
| ž s | Wentworm Institute of Technology | 2 0.3% | | 1 50% | | 1 50% | 2 100% |
| | Spend of the spend | 0.2% | | | | 1 100% | - |



TABLE 11
COLLEGES NON-MATRICULANTS APPLIED TO
& ORDER OF PREFERENCE
FALL 1995

| INSTITUTIONAL CATEGORY/ | 4 | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|----------|-----------------|-----------|---|---------------------|---------------|-----------------|--------------|--------------|-------------|-------|
| | | | | | Order o | Order of Preference | 83 | | | Ac | Accepted | |
| Institution | Z | % | ISI Choice N | 97C8 % | 2nd Choice N % | Z | 3rd Choice | 4th Choice | _ | Yes | | Ś |
| Mi GMI Footbaarloo & Monacomont Loutituto | , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , | | | | | | 2 | | + | % N | 2 | KNOWN |
| MI I surronce Technological II | L annie | 0.5% | - | 100% | | | | | | 1001 | % | |
| Mi Michiga Tochaclocki | _ | 0.5% | | | | - | 100% | | | 100% | : % | |
| | _ | %.0 | | | 100% | | | | | | ? > | |
| | | 0.5% | - | 100% | | | | | _ | 3 | • | |
| | | 0.2% | | | 100% | | | | | | | |
| | - | 0.2% | - | 7601 | | | | | | | | |
| NH New Hampshire, U of | . ~ | 8 | | 200 | 1 | | | | | 100% | % | |
| | - | 766.0 | - | 0,0 | %0c | - | | | _ | 2 100 | % | |
| NJ Rutgers U | - • | % % C | | | , | - | 100% | | | 100% | 2 | : |
| | - • | 2 6 | | | 100% | _ | | | _ | 1000 | % | |
| | - • | % C C | | | 100% | _ | | | _ | | - | |
| NY Alfred U | - 0 | 0.5% | | | | | | - | 100% | 100% | % | |
| NY Amot-Oaden Hosnital | o - | 9 6 | φ, | %/9 | | - | 17% | _ | 7% | 6 100 | % | |
| | - (| 0.6% | - | % | | | | | _ | 100% | % | |
| | V | % 5.0 | | | 1 50% | - | 20% | | _ | 2 100% | % | |
| | | 0.5% | | | | - | 100% | | _ | 1000 | : × | |
| N College of Aeronautics | | 0.5% | | | 100% | | | | _ | | 2 % | |
| | 1 TO 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | _ 0.6%] | 2 - 1 | %2 | 2052 | 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | | 9 | | K - | 7 7 | |
| NY Culinary Institute of America | - | 0.5% | - | %001 | ! | | | - | <u> </u> | 7 | ٠. | |
| | _ | 0.5% | | | 100% | | | | | 7001 | ٠. | |
| | - | 0.2% | | | | | | , | | 2001 | | |
| NY Elmira Business Institute | _ | 0.5% | | | 100% | | | <u>-</u> | %001 | 100% | ۰. | |
| | | - 0.2% | | 111111 | | | 1/W/9 | 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | | 2001 | 9 | 1 |
| | _ | 0.5% | 10 | 100% | | - | 8001 | | _ | | | - |
| | _ | 0 2% | | 2 | | • | **** | | _ | • | - | |
| | . 64 | 0.3% | | | 400% | - | % 001 | | | 7001 | | |
| NY Hudson Valley CC | - | 0.5% | | | | - | 400% | | | 2004 | ۰ م | |
| | | 0.2% | | | 1 | | 200 | Q1-11- | TOTAL PERSON | %00L k - | 1 1 1 1 1 1 | 1 |
| | | % | - | %0 | | | | <u>-</u> | % | 2001 | ۰ ه. | |
| NY Mohawk Valley CC | • | % | | %001 | | | | | | 2001 | . ه | |
| | _ | % | | • | | • | ****** | | | 100% | ۰ ه | |
| NY New York Institute of Technology | • | % | 1 100% | % | | - | 8001 | | _ | 100% | | |
| . – | | - 0.5% | | | 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | 1 1 1 1 1 | | Ø11 | | 2001 | | 1 |
| | . 0 | 3% | 2 100% | % | | | | 8001 | | | | _ |
| | | 2% | | 2 | | • | 7000 | | | 2 100% | | |
| | 4 | %9.0 | | | 1 25% | - c | 8 60 | , | - | , | - | |
| NY State U of New York (SUNY) system | | 40% | 7 | % | - 4 | ٠, | 800 | - · | %02 | 100% | | |
| | . ~ | 3% | | % 20% | 1 50% | - | %9 | - | <u>.</u> | 9 75% | - | ~ |
| NC Forsyth Technical CC | | %6.0 | 100 | 761 | 88 | | | | | 20% | - | |
| NC Rowan-Cabarrus CC | - | % | | 2 | | • | è00+ | | | %001 100% | | |
| O North Dakota State II | | | | | | - | 8 | | _ | | | |

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

٧:.



49

PENNSYLVANIA COLLEGE OF TECHNOLOGY NON-MATRICULANT SURVEY

·--

.:~

TABLE 11
COLLEGES NON-MATRICULANTS APPLIED TO
& ORDER OF PREFERENCE
FALL 1995

| STATE | | # Ar | # Applied | | | | , | | | | ŀ | | | | |
|--------------------|--------------------------------|------|--------------|-------|------------|---------|--|----------|-----------------|------------|----------|--------|-----------------|----|-----|
| INSTIT | INSTITUTIONAL CATEGORY/ | 1 | | | | 7 | Older of Preference | ererence | | | | | Accepted | ם | |
| | Institution | z | % | 151 Z | ISI Choice | S Sud N | 2nd Choice % | ğz | 3rd Choice % | 4th Choice | gg % | 되 고 | ν ι | 4 | ÷. |
| č | | | | | | | | | | | + | | • | | I I |
| 5 8 | Cuyanoga CC | ~ | 0.3% | | | - | 20% | - | 20% | | | c | 4004 | | |
| 5 | Northwestern College | - | 0.5% | | | - | 100% | • | 2 | | | • | 200 | | |
| 5 | Ohio Diesel Tech | 8 | 0.3% | ~ | 100% | • | | | | | | - (| 8 8 | | |
| 5 | Ohio State U | - | %0 | | 2001 | | | | | | | ۷, | 800 | | |
| 5 | Washingtonville CC | - | 2,6 | • | 2 | - | **** | | | | _ | - | %00L | | |
| SK OK | Cameron U | - | 766 0 | - | 1000 | - | 8 | | | | | | | - | : |
| æ | Johnson & Wales U | 4 | 798 0 | - | 9000 | , | 100 | | 1000 | | | - | 100% | | |
| Œ | New England Technical College | o e | 200 | • | % 0.7% | ۷. | 5 6 | - , | %0% 70% | _ , | % 50% | S. | % % | | |
| æ | Rhode Island, CC of | - | 200 | • | 4000 | - | %55 | - | 33% | - | 33% | က | 100% | | |
| Œ | Rhode Island, U of | | 200 | - | 8001 | | | | | | | - | 100% | | |
| æ | Roder Williams College | | 2,62 | | | | | - | 100% | | | - | 100% | | |
| 200 | Clamon II | - | 0.5% | | | | | - | 100% | | _ | - | 100% | | |
| 2 | Nachville Ando | - | 0.2% | - | 100% | | | | | | | - | 100% | | |
| Z | Toposcop II of | | 0.5% | | | N | %/9 | - | 33% | : | | 3 | 100% | ; | |
| | ion of appropriate | - | 0.5% | | | - | 100% | | | | | - | 100% | | |
| < ; | Lexas Agm | _ | 0.2% | | | | | - | 100% | | | | | | : |
| X. | Universal Technical Institute | - | 0.5% | | | | | _ | 100% | | _ | • | 800 | _ | |
| 5 | Weber State U | - | 0.5% | - | 100% | | | - | 3 | | 1 | - - | 200 | | 1 |
| Λ | Norwich U | L | 0.2% | | | - | 1000 | | | | 1 | - | 900 | | ! |
| Y/ | George Mason U | - | 0 29% | | 1000 | - | 800 | | | | | - | 100% | | |
| ۸ | Old Dominion U | | 200 | • | 2 | | | | | , | _ | _ | 100% | | |
| ۸ | Radford U | | 200 | • | ,000 F | | | | | - | %00L | _ | 10% | | |
| ۸ | Virginia Tech | | 200 | - | 800 | | | , | | | | _ | 100% | | |
| A | Alderson-Broaddus College | - | 0.5.0 | | 4000 | | | - | 100% | | 1 | - | 100% | | |
| ≩ | Fairmont State College | | 9 6 | - • | 8 8 8 8 | | | | | | | - | 100% | | |
| ≩ | Marshall U | | % % 0.000 | - | 800 | | | | | • | | - | 100% | | |
| ≩ | West Virginia State College | | 9 6 | | | | | , | | - | 8001 | - | 100% | | |
| ≩ | West Virginia U | | % | - | ***** | | | - | %001 | | | _ | % 100 100 | | |
| ≩ | West Virginia Wesleyan College | | %,00 | - | 2 | - | ************************************** | | | | | - | 100% | | |
| M | Northland College | - | 766 0 | | | - - | 100% | | - | | 1 | - | - ANN | - | |
| ⋝ | Wisconson, U of | - | 0.5% | | | - | 8 | - | 400% | | | - | 890 | | |
| | | | | | | | | • | 2 | | | | | - | |
| TOTAL | TOTAL OUT-OF-STATE | 158 | #N/A | 48 | 30% | 54 | 34% | 38 | 24% | 18 | 11% | 131 | 83% | 19 | 8 |
| | Not given | | | | | 960 | 7067 | 764 | 108 | , | 7020 | | | | |
| | | | | | | 607 | % 74 | - C | %0/ | ccc | %/8 | | | | |
| TOTAL APPLICATIONS | LICATIONS | 1285 | #N/A | | | | | | | | | 572 | 45% | - | 19 |
| TOTAL RES | TOTAL RESPONDENTS | 640 | , ac | 9 | è | į | ě | , | | ; | | | | | |
| | | 1 | | 940 | %00 | 5 | %62 | 189 | 15% | 82 | % | | | | |
| | | | 1 | | | | | | | | - | | | | |

Formerly Williamsport School of Commerce

<u>a</u>

BEST COPY AVAILABLE



TABLE 12 COLLEGE SERVICE RATINGS FALL 1995

| | Б У | Very Good (4) | _ | 9 (3) | _ | Poor (2) | Very C | Very Poor (1) | Re- sponse | Mean | | 1993 Mean |
|-------------------------------|--------|------------------|------|------------|-----|-------------|-----------|------------------|---------------|-------------|------|--------------|
| College Services | Z | % | z | % | z | % | z | 8 | Rate | Rating Rank | Rank | Rating |
| Admissions Procedures | 224 | 224 44.3% | 254 | 50.2% | 23 | 4.5% | Ŋ | 1.0% | 29.6% | 3.38 | _ | 3.34 |
| Placement Test Day | 125 | 35.1% | 203 | 27.0% | 17 | 4.8% | Ξ | 3.1% | 41.9% | 3.24 | 8 | 3.26 |
| Academic Advisement | 98 | 30.3% | 153 | 53.9% | 28 | 86.6 | 17 | 6.0% | 33.5% | 3.08 | 4 | 3.15 |
| Computerized Class Scheduling | 69 | 28.2% | 147 | %0.09 | 22 | 9.0% | 7 | 2.9% | 28.9% | 3.13 | ო | 3.16 |
| Billing/Payment Procedures | 4 | 17.6% | 154 | 66.1% | 22 | 9.4% | 16 | %6.9 | 27.4% | 2.94 | Ŋ | 2.98 |
| Financial Aid Services | 21 | 17.4% | 157 | 53.6% | 46 | 15.7% | 39 | 39 13.3% | 34.5% | 2.75 | 9 | 2.78 |
| COLLEGE SERVICE TOTALS | 596 | 596 31.1% | 1068 | 1068 55.7% | 158 | 8.2% | 95 | 2.0% | 37.6% | 3.13 | | 3.14 |



| ex. | |
|-----------------------------------|--|
| questions, call 717-326-3761, ext | |
| 717-32 | |
| Sall . | |
| ous, | |
| an ung quest | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |

4 Our records indicate that you were accepted for admission to Penn College, but have chosen not to attend. Your responses to the **وا**

| cluding Penn College) to erence: | Accepted for Admission? | Yes No |
|---|---|---|
| 4. Please list up to four colleges (including Pern College) to which was explied, in order of preference: | | College/University Name |
| c | tollowing questions will provide valuable information to essise future applicants and will be Kept strictly confidential. | *************************************** |

State:_

Are you presently attending another college this year?

Yes No If yes, which college?

. A

. &SPGMCD

. &STOLL2 . &STOLL3 . SSTOLL1

. SSTDLL4

'n.

PENNSYLVANIA COLLEGE OF TECHNOLOGY (Perm College) FALL 1995 NON-MATRICULANT SURVEY

٠.

College Name:

Major city

.:~

| 1. | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | 5. If you used any of the following Penn College services, |
|---|---|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------|--|
| 1. Use the following list and corresponding letters to indicate | one or two reasons why you applied to Pern College. | | Primary Reason Secondary Reason | A. Parental advice/preference B. Family member enrolled at Pern College |

37

Apply

Good Poor Poor

Very Good

Does

please rate how well they fulfilled your needs.

桑

Very

Computerized Class Scheduling Billing/Payment Procedures

Admissions Procedures Academic Advisement Placement Test Day

Financial Aid Services

| letters to indicate | ll at Penn College. |
|--|---|
| Use the following list and corresponding letters to indicate | up to three reasons why you did NOT enroll at Penn College. |
| 8 | |

| 6. Please make any comments you feel would be helpful to us. | |
|--|-------------------|
| . Use the following list and corresponding latters to indicate up to three reasons why you did NOT enroll at Pern College. | Third Reason |
| ng list and correspond sons why you did NOT e | Second Reason |
| . Use the following up to three rest | Primary Reason |

| 1 Third | | • |
|---------|--------|---|
| Second | Reason | |
| Primary | Reason | |

| | 1112 | any | |
|---|---|--|---|
| | ב | have | |
| | 158 re | ž | |
| ; | P.lee | Ħ. | .7. |
| | <u>.</u> | 7 | 756 |
| | SER | JEMBER | noisu |
| | THIS | Š | exte |
| | Z | ě | 'n |
| | ട്ട | ļ | 376 |
| | 9 ! ! | enve | -326- |
| | ASSIS | 9-paid | 717 11 |
| | S S | stage | 8 |
| | Š | Ž | Sons |
| | THANK YOU FOR ASSISTING US IN THIS SURVEY. Please return this | in the postage-paid envelope by NOVEMBER 17. If you have any | questions, call 717-326-3761, extension 7567. |
| | | | |

Impressions from campus visit Insufficient financial aid

Insufficient employment income (to offset costs) Expected cost (after financial aid)

Unsatisfactory housing Distance from home

Chose another college

Postponed college plans Found/seeking satisfactory employment Uncertain career goals

Personal/family obligations Health Other:

Pennsylvania College of Technology

PENNSTATE

One College Avenue Williamsport, PA 17701-5799

(717) 326-3761 http://www.pct.edu



Dear :

In order to meet our ongoing goal of providing quality admissions and student support services, we at the Pennsylvania College of Technology (Penn College) need your assistance in an evaluation of those services. This fall, over 3800 new students applied for admission to the College. However, some students who were accepted for admission decided not to enroll.

We are interested in the reasons why some of our applicants are accepted but choose not to attend the College. The enclosed questionnaire presents an opportunity for you to grade various aspects of the College and to inform us of your reasons for not enrolling at Penn College. Sharing your opinions with us is perhaps the most effective way to help us correct any problems and maintain our strengths to better serve future applicants.

The questionnaire is brief. All information will be kept strictly confidential and used only for institutional research. Your name will never be identified with your individual responses and is printed on the survey only to allow us to contact those who do not respond.

Please take a moment to complete the questionnaire and return it in the enclosed postage-paid envelope by November 17. If you have any questions, please contact Steve Cunningham, Institutional Research Specialist, at 717-326-3761, extension 7567. We appreciate your valuable assistance and wish you the best in your future endeavors.

Sincerely,

Sandra M. Slotnick Director of Strategic Planning and Research

Enclosures (2)
Questionnaire
Return Envelope



Pennsylvania College of Technology

One College Avenue Williamsport, PA 17701-5799

(717) 326-3761 http://www.pct.edu

PENNSTATE



Dear :

Several weeks ago, you received a questionnaire from the Office of Strategic Planning and Research at the Pennsylvania College of Technology (Penn College) asking for your assistance in an evaluation of our admission and student support services. We are interested in the reasons why some of our applicants are accepted but choose not to attend the College. The responses we have received have been very encouraging and represent perhaps the most effective way to help us correct any problems and maintain our strengths to better serve future applicants.

AT THIS TIME WE HAVE NOT RECEIVED YOUR RESPONSE. WOULD YOU PLEASE TAKE A FEW MINUTES TO COMPLETE THE ENCLOSED SURVEY AND RETURN IT TO US IN THE POSTAGE-PAID ENVELOPE BY DECEMBER 12. ALL RESPONSES WILL BE KEPT STRICTLY CONFIDENTIAL.

If you have any questions concerning this study, please contact Steve Cunningham, Institutional Research Specialist, at 717-326-3761, extension 7567. Thank you for your valuable assistance.

Sincerely,

Sandra M. Slotnick
Director of Strategic Planning and Research

Enclosures (2)
Questionnaire
Return Envelope



Pennsylvania College of Technology

One College Avenue Williamsport, PA 17701-5799

(717) 326-3761 http://www.pct.edu

PENNSTATE



Dear :

Several weeks ago, you received a questionnaire from the Office of Strategic Planning and Research at the Pennsylvania College of Technology (Penn College) asking for your assistance in an evaluation of our admission and student support services. We are interested in the reasons why some of our applicants are accepted but choose not to attend the College. The responses we have received have been very encouraging and represent perhaps the most effective way to help us correct any problems and maintain our strengths to better serve future applicants.

At this time we have not received your response. Pleas take a moment to complete the enclosed survey and return it to us in the postage-paid envelope by January 12. All responses will be kept strictly confidential.

BECAUSE YOUR RESPONSE IS SO IMPORTANT TO US, WE WILL SOON BEGIN TO TELEPHONE THOSE WHO DO NOT RESPOND TO THIS SURVEY. RATHER THAN WAITING FOR US TO TELEPHONE YOU, SIMPLY FILL OUT THE ENCLOSED SURVEY.

If you have any questions concerning this study, please contact Steve Cunningham, Institutional Research Specialist, at 717-326-3761, extension 7567. Thank you for your valuable assistance.

Sincerely,

Sandra M. Slotnick Director of Strategic Planning and Research

Enclosures (2)
Questionnaire
Return Envelope





U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

Office of Educational Research and Improvement (OERI) Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC)



REPRODUCTION RELEASE

(Specific Document)

JC 970 348

. DOCUMENT IDENTIFICATION:

| Title: | • | |
|---|---|-------------------|
| | FALL 1995 NON-MATRICULANT SURVEY REPORT | |
| Author(s): Stephen Cunningham | | |
| Corporate S | ource: | Publication Date: |
| Pennsylvania College of Technology April 1997 | | April 1997 |

II. REPRODUCTION RELEASE:

In order to disseminate as widely as possible timely and significant materials of interest to the educational community, documents announced in the monthly abstract journal of the ERIC system, *Resources in Education* (RIE), are usually made available to users in microfiche, reproduced paper copy, and electronic/optical media, and sold through the ERIC Document Reproduction Service (EDRS) or other ERIC vendors. Credit is given to the source of each document, and, if reproduction release is granted, one of the following notices is affixed to the document.

If permission is granted to reproduce the identified document, please CHECK ONE of the following options and sign the release below.

| below. | • | | |
|--|--|---|---|
| x (| Sample sticker to be affixed to document | Sample sticker to be affixed to document | |
| Check here Permitting microfiche (4"x 6" film), paper copy, electronic, and optical media reproduction | "PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY | "PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE THIS MATERIAL IN OTHER THAN PAPER COPY HAS BEEN GRANTED BY | Permitting reproduction in other than paper copy. |
| | Level 1 | Level 2 | , |

Sign Here, Please

Documents will be processed as indicated provided reproduction quality permits. If permission to reproduce is granted, but neither box is checked, documents will be processed at Level 1.

| "I hereby grant to the Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC) nonexclusive permission to reproduce this document as indicated above. Reproduction from the ERIC microfiche or electronic/optical media by persons other than ERIC employees and its system contractors requires permission from the copyright holder. Exception is made for non-profit reproduction by libraries and other service agencies to satisfy information needs of educators in response to discrete inquiries." | | |
|--|--|--|
| Signature: Teplen (unighan | Position: Institutional Research Specialist | |
| Printed Name: Stephen Cunningham | Organization: Pennsylvania College of Technology | |
| Address: One College Avenue | Telephone Number: (717) 326-3761 | |
| Williamsport PA 17701 | Date: June 3, 1997 | |



III. DOCUMENT AVAILABILITY INFORMATION (FROM NON-ERIC SOURCE):

If permission to reproduce is not granted to ERIC, or, if you wish ERIC to cite the availability of this document from another source, please provide the following information regarding the availability of the document. (ERIC will not announce a document unless it is publicly available, and a dependable source can be specified. Contributors should also be aware that ERIC selection criteria are significantly more stringent for documents which cannot be made available through EDRS).

| Publisher/Distributor: | |
|------------------------|-----------------|
| Address: | |
| | |
| Price Per Copy: | Quantity Price: |
| | |

IV. REFERRAL OF ERIC TO COPYRIGHT/REPRODUCTION RIGHTS HOLDER:

If the right to grant reproduction release is held by someone other than the addressee, please provide the appropriate name and address:

| Name and address of current copy | ight/reproduction rights holder: |
|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Name: | |
| Address: | |
| | |

V. WHERE TO SEND THIS FORM:

Send this form to the following ERIC Clearinghouse:

Sean Nelson, Acquisitions Coordinator ERIC Clearinghouse for Junior Colleges 8118 Math-Sciences Building University of California, Los Angeles Los Angeles, CA 90024

If you are making an unsolicited contribution to ERIC, you may return this form (and the document being contributed) to:

